

Office of the County Auditor

Internal Audit Division

Utah County Purchasing Audit

April 3, 2023

Rodney Mann, Utah County Auditor 100 East Center Street Suite 3611 Provo, UT 84606

Dear Mr. Mann:

The Internal Audit Division ("Division") performed an audit of Utah County transactions for the period of October 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. Tested Request for Proposal ("RFP") population evaluation committee arithmetic, criteria, and recommendations made to the County Board of Commissioners.
- 2. Tested sole source request population for sufficient documentation.
- 3. Tested 20 purchase orders ("P.O."s) less than \$5,000 for budget object code appropriateness and valid invoice amount.
- 4. Tested 20 P.O.s between \$5,000 and \$10,000 for budget object code appropriateness, valid invoice amount, and proper purchasing method.
- 5. Tested 20 P.O.s between \$10,000 and \$50,000 for budget object code appropriateness, valid invoice amount, and proper purchasing method.
- 6. Tested 20 P.O.s greater than \$50,000 for budget object code appropriateness, valid invoice amount, and proper purchasing method.
- 7. Tested County Financial Information System ("CoFIS") vendor and purchase order system permissions for sufficient separation of duties between vendor creation, P.O. creation, P.O. approval, and P.O. payment.

We did not discover any material violations of *Utah County Procurement Rules and Regulations*. We discovered two other matters and provide two recommendations that may improve the clarity of the procurement process. The Division appreciates the courtesy and assistance extended to us by Purchasing Division personnel during the audit process. We look forward to a continuing professional relationship.

Sincerely,

Utah County Internal Audit Division

Utah County Purchasing Audit

Finding(s) and Other Matter(s)

Other Matter: RFP Evaluation and Contract Awarding Policy Amendment

Condition

Utah County policy does not currently explicitly require RFP evaluation committee recommendations reflect the firms with the top three final tabulated scores nor require the County Board of Commissioners to award a contract based on evaluation committee recommendations, although management has communicated that this is the current practice.

Recommendation

We recommend the Purchasing Division propose the County Board of Commissioners amend *Utah County Procurement Rules and Regulations* Sections 3-213(8) and 3-215(1) to clarify that:

- RFP evaluation committee recommendations made reflect the firms with the top three final tabulations; and
- The Commission awards the contract to one of the firms included within the evaluation committee's recommendations.

Management Response

We agree with this recommendation. We are currently working with GFOA to revise and improve our Purchasing Policy. We expect to incorporate this recommendation into the revised policy.

Other Matter: Procurement Thresholds Policy Amendment

Condition

Utah County policy does not currently regulate purchases of \$10,000 and \$50,000.

Recommendation

We recommend the Purchasing Division propose the County Board of Commissioners amend the dollar thresholds within the following sections of *Utah County Procurement Rules and Regulations* to:

- Section 3-302(1)(a)(i): greater than \$5,000 and less than \$10,000.
- Section 3-302(1)(a)(ii): equal to \$10,000 and less than or equal to \$50,000.
- Section 3-302(1)(a)(iii): greater than \$5,000 and less than or equal to \$50,000.

Management Response

We agree with this recommendation. We are currently working with GFOA to revise and improve our Purchasing Policy. We expect to incorporate this recommendation into the revised policy.