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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## INTRODUCTION

Lewis Young Robertson \& Burningham, Inc ("LYRB") has been retained by the Orem City Redevelopment Agency (the "Agency") to assist with the management of the Agency's seven project areas (RDA 85-0 I, RDA 85-02, RDA 85-03A, RDA 85-03B, RDA 85-04, RDA 87-I0, and RDA 90-08). LYRB has compiled the various creation and related documents associated with the project areas, generated annual and multi-year budgets, and created a proprietary Excel-based software package to manage the Agency's project areas in the future.

The purpose of this report, in part, is to fulfill the requirements of Utah Code section I7C-I-402(9)(b) and I7C-I-603 - Agency Report. As new reporting requirements were adopted in legislation and became effective in 201I, this report facilitates the RDA's compliance with the new code, providing the data necessary to fulfill the Agency Report requirements. This section of Utah Code mandates that the Agency provide an annual report to the county auditor, the State Tax Commission, the State Board of Education, as well as each of the taxing entities that levy a tax on property from which the Agency collects tax increment. The taxing entities involved in the various project areas of the Agency, to which this report is being provided, are summarized in the table below.

Table I.I: RDA Taxing Entities
RDA TAXING ENTITIES

| Jamie Davidson | Orem City |
| :--- | ---: |
| Ryan Clark | Orem City |
| Burt Harvey | Utah County |
| Rob Smith | Alpine School District |
| Gene Shawcroft | Central Utah Water Conservancy District |
| JoAnne Dubois | Central Utah Water Conservancy District |
| Glade Gillman | Orem Metropolitan Water District |
| Natalie Grange | Utah State Board of Education |
| Lorraine Austin | Utah State Board of Education |
| Barry Conover | Utah State Tax Commission |

This report also fulfills the reporting requirements described in UC I7C-I-402(9)(b), allowing the report to be used in place of an annual taxing entity committee meeting. This annual report is for informational purposes and is intended to provide an overview of each project area that lies within the boundaries of the RDA, including descriptions of each project area, significant activities, project timelines, actual and estimated tax increment collections, and any other information pertinent to the taxing entities.

Provided in this report is an overview of the RDA 85-01, RDA 85-02, RDA 85-03A, RDA 85-03B, RDA 85-04, RDA 87-10, and RDA 90-08 including summaries of the current and projected budgets, sources and uses of tax increment funds, project area growth statistics, and identification of certain concerns/needs.

## OVERVIEW OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

The Orem City Redevelopment Agency was created by the Orem City Council in accordance with the provision of the Utah Neighborhood Development Act，UCA I7A－2－I201，I7A－2－I202，and I7A－2－ I203，and continues to operate under Title I7C of Utah Code（UCA I7C）．

UCA I7C has expanded the ability of the Redevelopment Agencies，allowing the creation of various types of Project Areas，including Community Development Area（CDA），Urban Renewal Area（URA）， and Economic Development Area（EDA）．A CDA differs from an Urban Renewal Area（URA）and an Economic Development Area（EDA）in that it is meant to encourage，promote，or provide for development，but does not require the vote，approval，or governance of a taxing entity committee． Various taxing entities can elect to participate on an individual basis through interlocal agreements．In comparison，an EDA is intended to create jobs or economic opportunity and requires a taxing entity committee．A URA is meant to initiate or intensify development of a blighted or under－used area．In the case of a URA，blight finding is required，limited use of eminent domain is allowed，and a taxing entity committee is required．Currently，the Agency has seven active Project Areas．

## AUTHORITIES AND POWERS OF THE AGENCY

The authority of the Agency is directed by UCA Title I7C．
I7C－I－202
I．A community development and renewal agency may：
末 Sue and be sued；
末 Enter into contracts generally；
末 Buy，obtain an option upon，or otherwise acquire an interest in real or personal property；
末 Sell，convey，grant，dispose of by gift，or otherwise dispose of any interest in real or personal property；
Enter into a lease agreement on real or personal property，either as lessee or lessor；
इī Provide for urban renewal，economic development，and community development as provided in this title；
言 Receive tax increment as provided in this title；
言 If disposing of or leasing land，retain controls or establish restrictions and covenants running with the land consistent with the project area plan；
＝Accept financial or other assistance from any public or private source for the agency＇s activities，powers，and duties，and expend any funds so received for any of the purposes of this title；
末 Borrow money or accept financial or other assistance from the federal government，a public entity，or any other source for any of the purposes of this title and comply with any conditions of the loan or assistance；
$\overline{\bar{\sigma}}$ Issue bonds to finance the undertaking of any urban renewal，economic development，or community development or for any of the agency＇s other purposes，including；
－Reimbursing an advance made by the agency or by a public entity or the federal government to the agency；
－Refunding bonds to pay or retire bonds previously issued by the agency；and
－Refunding bonds to pay or retire bonds previously issued by the community that created the agency for expenses associated with an urban renewal，economic development，or community development project；and
言 Transact other business and exercise all other powers provided for in this title．

## GOVERNING BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND STAFF MEMBERS

Table I.2: Board of Trustees
GOVERNING BOARD OF TRUSTEES

| Richard F. Brunst, Jr. | Chair | Orem City Mayor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Margaret Black | Board Member | Orem City Council Member |
| Hans V. Andersen | Board Member | Orem City Council Member |
| Tom Macdonald | Board Member | Orem City Council Member |
| Mark Seastrand | Board Member | Orem City Council Member |
| David Spencer | Board Member | Orem City Council Member |
| Brent Sumner | Board Member | Orem City Council Member |

Table I.3: Administration \& Staff Members

|  | ADMINISTRATION \& STAFF MEMBERS |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Jaime Davidson | Executive Director | Orem City Manager |
| Ryan L. Clark | Economic Development Division Manager |  |
| Jennifer Sisoutham | Economic Development Secretary |  |

## SUMMARY OF REQUESTED FUNDS

The Agency requests all funds it is legally entitled to receive, and estimates those funds according to the chart below. Per UC I7C-I-603(3), these projected figures are provided for informational purposes only, and do not alter the amount of tax increment that this Agency is entitled to collect. The Agency requests all tax increment legally available from each of the Agency's project areas described below; however these estimates should in no way be interpreted or applied as a limitation upon the amount the Agency is entitled to receive under applicable statute(s), project area budget(s), and/or interlocal cooperation agreements.

Table I.4: Estimate of Tax Increment

| ESTIMATE OF TAX INCREMENT TO BE PAID TO THE AGENCY |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Tax Year 2015 <br> (Ending Dec. 31, 2015) | Tax Year 2016 <br> (Beginning Jan. I, 2016) |
| Property Tax Increment | $\$ 194,268$ | $\$ 166,516$ |
| RDA 90-08 | $\$ 194,268$ | $\$ 166,516$ |
| Total Revenue |  |  |

## LYRB

Table I.5: Estimate of Haircut Increment

| ESTIMATE OF HAIRCUT TO BE PAID TO THE AGENCY |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |

## GENERAL OVERVIEW OF ALL PROJECT AREAS

Table I.5: Combined Budget

| COMBINED BUDGET - ALL PROJECT AREAS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES | FY 2015 TOTALS | REMAINING LIFE* |
| Property Tax Increment |  |  |
| RDA 85-01 | \$915,183 | \$915,183 |
| RDA 87-10 | \$206, I84 | \$206, I84 |
| RDA-90-08 | \$180,729 | \$1,207,576 |
| Haircut Increment |  |  |
| RDA 85-01 | \$168,539 | \$3,085,876 |
| RDA 85-02 | \$185, 71 | \$1,304,914 |
| RDA 85-03A | \$219,333 | \$1,483,121 |
| RDA 85-03B | \$319,731 | \$2,254,244 |
| RDA 85-04 | \$92,731 | \$709,254 |
| RDA 87-10 | \$37,970 | \$847,765 |
| RDA 90-08 | \$21,396 | \$412,524 |
| Total | \$2,346,967 | \$12,426,64 I |
| EXPENDITURES | FY 2015 TOTALS | REMAINING LIFE* |
| Project Area Administration |  |  |
| RDA 85-03B | \$165,000 | \$1,155,000 |
| RDA 85-04 | \$50,000 | \$350,000 |
| RDA 90-08 | \$100,000 | \$1,645,000 |
| Development Incentive Payments |  |  |
| RDA 85-01 | \$869,424 | \$869,424 |
| RDA 85-03A | \$75,000 | \$450,000 |
| RDA 87-10 | \$220,000 | \$220,000 |
| Debt Service Payments |  |  |
| RDA 85-01 | \$174,671 | \$3,146,788 |
| RDA 85-02 | \$185,171 | \$1,002,153 |
| RDA 85-03A | \$292,438 | \$2,611,167 |
| RDA 85-03B | \$387,328 | \$2,453,996 |


| RDA 85-04 | \$- | \$59,362 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RDA 87-10 | \$54,447 | \$663,419 |
| RDA 90-08 | \$4,288 | \$269,488 |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures |  |  |
| RDA 85-01 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
| RDA 85-02 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
| RDA 85-03A | \$16,400 | \$16,400 |
| RDA 87-10 | \$5,000 | \$489,268 |
| RDA 90-08 | \$5,000 | \$79,505 |
| Contribution to (Use of Fund) Balance |  |  |
| RDA 85-01 | \$35,085 | $(\$ 19,696)$ |
| RDA 85-02 | (\$402) | \$302,359 |
| RDA 85-03A | $(\$ 145,662)$ | $(\$ 1,575,604)$ |
| RDA 85-03B | $(\$ 199,379)$ | (\$1,375,533) |
| RDA 85-04 | \$43,463 | \$300,624 |
| RDA 87-10 | (\$33,715) | $(\$ 317,160)$ |
| RDA 90-08 | \$95,407 | $(\$ 317,323)$ |
| Interest (Revenue) |  |  |
| RDA 85-01 | (\$458) | (\$458) |
| RDA 85-02 | $(\$ 4,598)$ | $(\$ 4,598)$ |
| RDA 85-03A | $(\$ 18,842)$ | $(\$ 18,842)$ |
| RDA 85-03B | $(\$ 33,218)$ | $(\$ 33,218)$ |
| RDA 85-04 | (\$732) | (\$732) |
| RDA 87-10 | $(\$ 1,578)$ | $(\$ 1,578)$ |
| RDA 90-08 | $(\$ 2,570)$ | $(\$ 2,570)$ |
| Total Expenditures | \$2,346,967 | \$12,426,64 |

[^0]
## SECTION 1: OVERVIEW OF THE RDA 85-01 AREA

Table 2.1: Project Area Overview

| OVERVIEW |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type RDA | Acreage 100 | Purpose Commercial Development | Taxing District 090 | Tax Rate 0.011186 |
| Creation Year FY 1985 | $\frac{\text { Base Year }}{\text { FY } 1985}$ | $\frac{\text { Term }}{32 \text { Years }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trigger Year } \\ \text { FY } 1991 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Expiration Year }}{\text { FY } 2022}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Base Value }}{\$ 1,472,221}$ | $\frac{\text { TY } 2014 \text { Value }}{\$ 142,175,248}$ | $\frac{\text { Increase }}{9,557 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { FY } 2015 \text { Increment }}{\$ 1,083,722}$ | $\frac{\text { Remaining Years }}{7 \text { Years }}$ |



The 85-01 Project Area was created in March 1985 and is governed by the (a) "Westside Redevelopment Project No. 85-01 Redevelopment Plan" This document and the associated ordinances and resolutions define the duration and use of property tax generated within the Project Area as well as conditions and obligations by the Agency and each participating taxing entity. As the 85-01 Project Area was created prior to 1993, a taxing entity committee was not established for this project Area.

The Project Area is currently intended to draw property tax increment beginning with the taxes collected in 1990 and remitted to the Agency in 1991 and continue for 25 years through and including taxes collected in 2014 and paid to the Agency in 2015. On August 28, 200I, the Agency adopted a resolution (RDA-R-0I-0004-000) solidifying its desire to trigger the extension option for all seven RDA project areas, including this RDA. This action extends the receipt of haircut revenues through and including taxes collected in 202I and paid to the Agency in 2022. Note that haircut revenues will not include participation from the school district's portion of the tax rate. RDA 85-0I is located along 1200 South between the western City boundary and Geneva Road.

## SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 2.2: Sources of Funds

| 2015 SOURCES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Tax Increment | $\$ 915,183$ |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 168,539$ |
| Total Sources of Funds | $\$ 1,083,722$ |

Table 2.3: Tax Increment Levels

| TAX INCREMENT LEVELS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | \% of Tax Increment | \% of Haircut |
| $1991-1995$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $1996-2000$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $2001-2005$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $2006-2010$ | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| $2011-2015$ | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $2016-2022$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## USES OF FUNDS

Table 2.4: Uses of Funds

| 2015 USES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Developer Incentive Payments |  |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | $\$ 869,424$ |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | $\$ 174,671$ |
| Redevelopment Activities | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Interest (Revenue) | $\$ 3,085$ |
| Total Uses of Funds | $(\$ 458)$ |

## DEVELOPER INCENTIVE PAYMENTS

In 1988, the Agency entered into a developer agreement with Orem Tek, in which the RDA would reimburse the developer a portion of the costs related to the redevelopment of the Project Area. In FY 2015 the Agency paid $\$ 869,424$ to the developer. The agreement lasts until the Project Area sunsets.

Table 2.5: Developer Incentive Payment

## 2015 DEVELOPER INCENTIVE PAYMENT

| Orem Tek Payment | $\$ 869,424$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total 20I5 Tax Increment to Developer | $\$ 869,424$ |

## DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

In 2002, Orem City issued $\$ 12,175,000$ in Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 to fund various recreational facilities and uses throughout the City. As per Utah State Code Title 17C-I-403, the Agency is using the haircut proceeds from all qualified Project Areas to repay the City for the Sales Tax Revenue debt service expenditures. In FY 2015, the 85-01 Project Areas portion of the debt service was $\$ 174,671$.

Table 2.6 Debt Service Payments

## 2015 DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

2002 Sales Tax Revenue Bond Payment \$174,671

## PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY <br> COMPARISON OF FORECASTED AND ACTUAL TAX INCREMENT

Table 2.7: Realization of Tax Increment

| REALIZATION OF TAX |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INCREMENT |$\quad$ ACTUAL $\quad$ FORECASTED | \% OF |
| :---: |
| PROJECTION |

## RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE

Table 2.8: Growth in Assessed Value
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{c|r|r|r|r}\text { GROWTH IN ASSESSED } \\ \text { VALUE }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { CURRENT } \\ \text { YEAR }\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c}\text { COMPARISON } \\ \text { YEAR }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { GROWTH } \\ \text { RATE }\end{array}\right]$ AAGR

| ASSESSED VALUES IN OREM CITY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual Growth in Orem City (20I4 vs. 20I3) | $\$ 4,534, I 29,407$ | $\$ 4,287,504,7 I 0$ | $5.75 \%$ | $5.75 \%$ |
| Lifetime Growth in Orem City (20I4 vs. I996 |  |  |  |  |

## BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 2.9: Benefits to Taxing Entities

## BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITIES

*Job Creation
*Increased Property Tax Revenues

- 100\% of tax increment received by entities after 2022
*Higher Growth Rate in Tax Base Compared to Non-RDA Areas

Currently the only taxing entity receiving increment is the School District. Annual property tax increment (above the base amount) currently being returned to the School District is $2,767 \%$ above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels for all the taxing entities. Lifetime property tax increment (above the base amount) received by the School District is $995 \%$ above what would have been realized based on base year levels for all the taxing entities. This number will increase significantly when the Project Area expires in 2022, and all of the taxing entities receive the benefit of the $6,580 \%$ increase in annual tax increment.

[^1]Table 2．10：Growth in Property Tax Increment

| GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT | ORIGINAL BUDGET REVENUES | ACTUAL REVENUES | BASE YEAR VALUE REVENUES | ABOVE BASE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 2015 | NA | \＄1，083，722 | \＄16，468 | 6，580\％ |
| Lifetime Revenue（FY 1991－2015） | NA | \＄10，920，544 | \＄385，757 | 2，831\％ |


| PASS THROUGH INCREMENT（ABOVE <br> BASE） |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fiscal Year 2015 | NA |  | $\$ 455,653$ | $\$ 16,468$ | $2,767 \%$ |
| Lifetime Revenue（FY 199I－2015） | NA |  | $\$ 3,837,482$ | $\$ 385,757$ | $995 \%$ |

## NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

言 Blendtec
末 US Synthetic Corporation
후 Mountainland Applied Technology College

इ PowerStream Technology
＂Utah College of Dental Hygiene
末 The REDX－Real Estate Data X－Change

## FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi－year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected．

Table 2．II：Project Area Budget

| PROJECT AREA BUDGET | $2016-2022$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| REVENUES | TOTALS | NPV＠5\％ |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 2,917,337$ | $\$ 2,4 \mathrm{II}, 543$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 2,917,337$ | $\$ 2,4 \mathrm{I}, 543$ |
| EXPENDITURES | TOTALS | NPV＠5\％ |
| Debt Service | $\$ 2,972,1 I 7$ | $\$ 2,444,746$ |
| Contribution to（Use of Fund）Balance | $(\$ 54,780)$ | $(\$ 33,204)$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 2,917,1 \mathrm{I7}$ | $\$ 2,4 \mathrm{II}, 543$ |

## OTHER ISSUES

There are no major areas of concern within the 85－01 Project Area and according to records reviewed， all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area．

## PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI－YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015，FY 2016，FY 2017，and the full multi－year budget from 2002 to 2022 for the 85－0I Project Area．

## Orem RDA 85-01

## 2015 Annual Budget



## Orem RDA 85-01

## 2016 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2015 |
| Payment Year | 2016 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 69,390,800 |
| Personal Property | 72,553,864 |
| Centrally Assessed | 230,584 |
| Total Assessed Value | 142,175,248 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (1,472,221) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 140,703,027 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAXINCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | - |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 416,762 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 416,762 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Orem Tek) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (US Synthetics) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Recreation Facilities) | 409,705 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | 7,057 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 416,762 |

## Orem RDA 85-01

## 2017 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2016 |
| Payment Year | 2017 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 69,390,800 |
| Personal Property | 72,553,864 |
| Centrally Assessed | 230,584 |
| Total Assessed Value | 142,175,248 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (1,472,221) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 140,703,027 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAXINCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | - |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 416,762 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 416,762 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Orem Tek) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (US Synthetics) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Recreation Facilities) | 409,705 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | 7,057 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 416,762 |

LYRB
"...w
Orem RDA 85-01
Ongoing Budget
Multi-Year Project Area Budget Projections

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tax Year } \\ \text { Payment Year } \end{gathered}$ | (1985 | 132002 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | OPTIONAL EXTENTION OF HAIRCUT INCRENENT |  |  |  |  | totals |  | NV®® 5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | ${ }^{2615}$ | 27 | ${ }^{28}$ | ${ }^{29}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{32}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |
| taxablevaluation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Locally fsessesed Real |  | 33,623,419 | 3,552,570 | 34,66,654 | 34,43,354 | 43,188225 | 48,999,377 | 64,11, 720 | 67,468,75 |  | ${ }^{661005,654}$ | ${ }^{67212,246}$ | 70,438,016 | 69,308,800 | 69,909800 | 69,308,800 | 699,90800 | 69,308,800 | 69,308,800 | 69,308,800 | ${ }^{69,998800}$ |  |  |  |
| Pessonal Property |  | 11,67, 118 | 11,117,080 | 11,003,021 | 12,37, 166 | 17,362789 | 16,085,325 | 33427,794 | 43,727,365 |  | 49,01, 987 | 52420,042 | 61,532649 | ${ }^{72,553,864}$ | ${ }^{\text {72,553,864 }}$ | 72,55,864 | ${ }^{72,559,864}$ | ${ }_{72,559,84}$ | 72,55,8,4 | 72,553864 | 72,53, ${ }^{\text {a } 64}$ |  |  |  |
| Centalyssessed |  | 350,065 | 360,153 | 329,073 | 349,93 | 317,758 | 284,587 | 313,946 | 233,485 |  | 1885.85 | 199,309 | 25.4 .45 | 230,54 | 2305.54 | 230.584 | 230.584 | 280.584 | ${ }^{230,584}$ | 230.584 | 230,54 |  |  |  |
| Tool Assessed Vaue |  | 45,5010,022 | 46,005.003 | 45.9897748 | 47,0090983 | 60,8997,52 | ${ }^{647666299}$ | 97.853.400 | ${ }^{111,428,725}$ |  | 110,033:406 | 119.828,597 | 132228,100 | 142.175 .248 | 142.175 .288 | 142,175.278 | ${ }^{122,71752,248}$ | 122,175,248 | 122,175,248 | ${ }^{12421757228}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Less: Base Yearalaue | (14772221) | (1,472291) | (1,472,211) | (1,472221) | (1,4722121) | (1,472221) | (1,472221) | (1,472221) | (1,472221) |  | (1,4722121) | (1,472,221) | (1,472221) | (1,472221) | (1.472221) | (1.472 221) | (1,472,221) | (1,472221) | (1,472,212) | (1,472221) | (1,472,221) |  |  |  |
| Total hreemental Assessed Value |  | 44,138,811 s | 4,4,53,582 s | 4,0,02,527 S | 45,618,742 | ${ }^{59,987,519}$ s | 63,24,078 s | 96,38,2,29 s | 109,94,504 s | s | 100,83,185 s | 118,56,376 s | 130,753,899 | 140,703,27 s | 140,73,027 s | 140,73,327 s | 140,70, 2 ,27 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 140,73,027 | 140,73,327 s | 107,73,027 s | 140,703,027 |  |  |  |
| Real Property Centraly Assessect Tx Rata: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tolal Tx Rade |  |  | 0.011034 | 0.001380 | 0.01039 | 0.010906 | 0.00978 | 0.00978 | 0.010530 | 0.01152 | 0.012309 | 0.012371 | 0.011857 | 0.011186 | 0.001139 | 0.001139 | 0.001139 | 0.001139 | 0.001139 | 0.011139 | 1139 |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{0.0010063}$ | 0.001049 | 0.011740 | ${ }^{0.0010558}$ | ${ }^{0.0010395}$ | ${ }^{0.008745}$ | ${ }^{0.009683}$ | 0.001048 |  | ${ }^{0.012339}$ | ${ }^{0.0123711}$ | ${ }^{0.011887}$ | ${ }^{0.011196}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.003458 | 0.003508 | 0.003821 | 0.008359 | 0.003512 | 0.02850 | 0.002706 | 0.002907 |  | 0.008997 | 0.003543 | 0.00332 | 0.003900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{75 \%}$ | ${ }^{75 \%}$ | ${ }^{75 \%}$ | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% | ${ }^{60 \%}$ | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | \%\% | \% | \%\% | \% | 0\% | \%\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 100\% | 10\%\% | 100\% | 100\% | 00\% | 100\% | 00\% |  |  |  |
| TAX ICREEMENT Relenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax hreement |  | ¢ 33,30 s | 347.683 s | 325.988 | 331.583 s | 421,085 s | 376,529 s | 75,726 s | 758,21 s | 727,00 s | 803762 s | 878,512 s | 930,209 's | 944,32 s | s | s | s | s | s | s |  | s | 9,742,137 |  |
| Less Curent Year Uncollected | s | s . s | s | s | s | s | s | s | s | s | (48,885) 8 | [27,031) s | (99462) s | (29, 159 ) 8 | s | s | s | s | s | s |  | s |  | (55,60) |
| Pus Piore Yeasi late colocetions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{49.663}$ | 117,60 | 44.747 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 106,70 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | s 38,26 s | ${ }^{39,5658}$ | 33,506 s | 45.492 s | 60,700 s | 52.8528 | ${ }^{93,441}$ s | 90,530 s | 136,732 s | 152233 s | 167735 s | ${ }^{1759388}$ s | ${ }^{173,909}$ S | 416,762 s | 416,762 s | 416,762 s | 416,762 s | 416,762 s | 416,762 s | 416,762 | s | 4,177.566 | 1,170,299 |
|  |  | s | s | s | s | s | s | s | s | s | (9,259) 8 | (5,161) s | (33,30) s | (5.30) ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | s | - s | s | \$ | $s$ |  | s | (52,20) | (16,704) |
| Pusp Pior Yeasal Laie Colecetions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.732 | 2,187 | 28.525 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 39.445 | ) 12,542 |
|  |  | 38226 s | 3,056 s | 33,506 s | 45.922 s | $60,00 \mathrm{~s}$ | 52,852 | $93,41 \mathrm{~s}$ | 90,530 s | 136,732 s | 151,707 s | 164,761 5 | $171,233 \mathrm{~s}$ | 168,539 s | 416,762 s | 416,762 s | 416,762 s | 416,762 s | 416,762 s | 416,762 s | 416,76 | s | 4,64,111 | s 1,166,077 |
| Total lialut Reeived by Agen | s | 371,576 s | 386,79 s | $359,404 \mathrm{~s}$ | 377,075 s | 481,885 | 429,381 s | 881,167 s | 889,351 s | $885,41 \mathrm{~s}$ | 956,246 s | 1,02,02 s | 1,076,728 s | 1,083,72 s | 416,762 s | $416,762 \mathrm{~s}$ | $416,762 \mathrm{~s}$ | 416,762 s | $416,762 \mathrm{~s}$ | 416,762 s | 416,762 |  | 13,877,881 | \$ 5,266,795 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Toatil Pass Through h oreement | s | ${ }^{72,891}$ s | ${ }^{76,332} \mathrm{~s}$ | ${ }^{\text {75,127 s }}$ | 96,15 s | ${ }^{119,765}$ s | 108,57 s | 231,288 s | ${ }^{24,6,79 \text { S }}$ | 347,941 s | 383,37 s | 436,185 s | 473,621 s | 400,182 s | 1,150,529 s | 1,150,529 s | 1,150,529 s | 1,150,529 s | 1,150,229 s | 1,150,529 s | 1,56,529 | s | 11,92,572 | \$ 3,349683 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDAAdmin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s |  |  |  | 100,000 s | s | . s | . s | - s | s | s | - s | - | $s$ |  | 37,689 |
|  |  | ${ }^{316,682}$ | 330,79 | ${ }^{309,603}$ | 315,04 | 400,031 | 357,73 | 719,89 | ${ }^{720,80}$ | 69,659 | ${ }^{764,313}$ | 820,79 | 880220 | ${ }^{869,924}$ | . | . | . | . | . |  | - | $s$ | 9,190,022 | 3,895,622 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{3,333}$ | ${ }_{3,333}$ | ${ }^{33,33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 100,000 |  |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) Debt Service (Haircut Recreation Facilities) |  | 38.26 | 39.056 | 33.506 | 62071 | ${ }^{81,54}$ | 71.69 | ${ }^{131,388}$ | 90.30 | ${ }^{136,732}$ | 151,707 | 164,761 | 171,233 | 174,671 | 409705 | 409705 | 409775 | 409705 | 409705 | 409,75 | 409705 | ${ }^{8}$ | 600.23 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109, 182 |  | 104,182 | ${ }_{20,5676}$ |
| Mis. Ependidues |  |  | 56,73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{42528}^{20,5}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | ${ }^{(622)}$ | ${ }^{(1,132)}$ | ${ }^{(744)}$ | (143) | ${ }^{4588)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | (3,099) | ${ }^{(12,254)}$ |
|  |  | s ${ }_{\substack{16,688 \\ 371576 \\ \hline}}$ | ${ }^{(388,60)} 88$ | ${ }_{3}^{16,295}$ 3594 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{37,949} 8$ | ${ }_{\substack{36,972 \\ 868741}}$ |  | ${ }_{10,573}^{10.5802}$ | ${ }^{(877945} 1$ | ${ }_{\text {3 }}^{350895}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {710,67 }}^{4162}$ | ${ }_{\text {710,67 }}^{4162}$ | ${ }_{\text {710,67 }}^{4162}$ |  |  | [97,125) | s |  | 22550 |
|  |  | \% 31,576 |  |  | 371,075 s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,028,02 s | 1.0767 .28 s | 1.083,722 s |  |  |  |  | $416,762 \mathrm{~s}$ |  | 416,762 |  |  | ${ }_{5.266,795}$ |

## SECTION 2: OVERVIEW OF THE 85-02 PROJECT AREA

Table 3.I: Project Area Overview

| OVERVIEW |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { RDA }}$ | $\frac{\text { Acreage }}{100}$ | Purpose Research \& Technology Park | $\frac{\text { Taxing District }}{090}$ | $\frac{\text { Tax Rate }}{0.003090}$ |
| Creation Year FY 1985 | $\frac{\text { Base Year }}{\text { FY } 1985}$ | Term 32 Years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trigger Year } \\ \text { FY } 1990 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Expiration Year }}{\text { FY } 2021}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Value } \\ \$ 7,333,972 \end{gathered}$ | TY 2014 Value $\$ 70,340,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increase } \\ 859 \% \end{gathered}$ | FY 2015 Increment $\$ 185,171$ | Remaining Years 6 Years |



The 85-02 Project Area was created in May 1985 and is governed by the (a) "Redevelopment Plan \#85-02" This document and the associated ordinances and resolutions define the duration and use of property tax generated within the Project Area as well as conditions and obligations by the Agency and each participating taxing entity. As the 85-02 Project Area was created prior to 1993, a taxing entity committee was not established for this project Area.

The Project Area is currently intended to draw property tax increment beginning with the taxes collected in 1989 and remitted to the Agency in 1990 and continue for 25 years through and including taxes collected in 2013 and paid to the Agency in 2014. On August 28, 200I, the Agency adopted a resolution (RDA-R-01-0004-000) solidifying its desire to trigger the extension option for all seven RDA project areas, including this RDA. This action extends the receipt of haircut revenues through and including taxes collected in 2020 and paid to the Agency in 2021. Note that haircut revenues will not include participation from the school district's portion of the tax rate. The original purpose of the 8502 Project Area was to establish a research and technology park, and to convert the property into a more economically productive area. RDA $85-02$ is generally located on the west side of 800 East between 1600 North and 1200 North.
$\longrightarrow$
ITCTM

## SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 3.2: Sources of Funds

| 2015 SOURCES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 185,171$ |
| Total Sources of Funds | $\$ 185,171$ |

Table 3.3: Tax Increment Levels

| Years | \% of Tax Increment | \% of Haircut |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1990-1994$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $1995-1999$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $2000-2004$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $2005-2009$ | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| $2010-2014$ | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $2015-2021$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## USES OF FUNDS

Table 3.4: Uses of Funds

| 2015 USES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Debt Service Payments | $\$ 185,171$ |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Contribution to (Use of Fund) Balance | $(\$ 4,598)$ |
| Interest (Revenue) | $(\$ 402)$ |
| Total Uses of Funds | $\$ 185,171$ |

## DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

In 2002, Orem City issued $\$ 12,175,000$ in Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 to fund various recreational facilities and uses throughout the City. As per Utah State Code Title 17C-I-403, the Agency is using the haircut proceeds from all qualified Project Areas to repay the City for the Sales Tax Revenue debt service expenditures. In FY 2015, the 85-02 Project Area's portion of the debt service was $\$ 185,171$.

Table 3.5: Debt Service Payments

## 2015 DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

| Series 2002 Sales Tax Revenue Bond Payment | \$185,17I |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Debt Service Payments | $\$ 185,171$ |

## LYRB <br> $\longrightarrow$ <br> |l||c||

## PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY <br> COMPARISON OF FORECASTED AND ACTUAL TAX INCREMENT

Table 3.6: Realization of Tax Increment

| REALIZATION OF TAX INCREMENT | FORECASTED | ACTUAL | $\%$ OF <br> PROJECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX INCREMENT GENERATED IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Increment - FY 2015 | $\$ 194,244$ | $\$ 185,171$ | $95 \%$ |

RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE
Table 3.7: Growth in Assessed Value

| GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE | CURRENT <br> YEAR | COMPARISON <br> YEAR | GROWTH <br> RATE | AAGR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| ASSESSED VALUES IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 20I3) | $\$ 70,340,000$ | $\$ 69,444,854$ | $1.29 \%$ | $1.29 \%$ |
| Lifetime Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. Base) | $\$ 70,340,000$ | $\$ 7,333,972$ | $859 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |

## ASSESSED VALUES IN OREM CITY

Annual Growth in Orem City (2014 vs. 2013)
Lifetime Growth in Orem City (2014 vs. 1996)

| $\$ 4,534,129,407$ | $\$ 2,173,320,362$ | $108.63 \%$ | $4.17 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 3.8: Benefits to Taxing Entities

## BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITIES

| *Job Creation |
| :--- |
| *Increased Property Tax Revenues upon expiration of Project Area |
| *Significantly higher growth in tax base compared to non-incentivized areas |

Currently the only taxing entity receiving increment is the School District. Annual property tax increment (above the base amount) currently being returned to the School District is 7,523\% above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels for all the taxing entities. Lifetime property tax increment (above the base amount) received by the School District is $298 \%$ above what would have been realized based on base year levels for all the taxing entities. This number will increase significantly when the Project Area expires in 2021, and all of the taxing entities receive the benefit of the $817 \%$ increase in annual tax increment.

Table 3.9: Growth in Tax Increment

| GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT | ORIGINAL <br> BUDGET | ACTUAL <br> REVENUES | BASE YEAR <br> VALUE <br> REVENUES | $\%$ <br> ABOVE <br> BASE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 20I5 | NA | $\$ 185,171$ | $\$ 22,662$ | $817 \%$ |


| Lifetime Revenue（FY I990－20I5） | NA | $\$ 14,889,086$ | $\$ 2,068,818$ | $719 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PASS THROUGH INCREMENT（ABOVE BASE） |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 20I5 | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Lifetime Revenue（FY I990－20I5） | NA | $\$ 6,180,515$ | $\$ 22,662$ | $7,523 \%$ |  |

## NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

베N
Wayfair．com
＝Canyon Park Technology Center
言 Fishbowl Inventory
＝Service Repair Solutions

万 National Link Inc．
言 Opinion Outpost
末 Moduslink Corporation
言 Unicity International

## FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi－year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected．

Table 3．10：Project Area Budget

| PROJECT AREA BUDGET | 2016－2021 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| REVENUES | TOTALS | NPV＠5\％ |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 1,119,743$ | $\$ 947,245$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 1, I 19,743$ | $\$ 947,245$ |
| EXPENDITURES | TOTALS | NPV＠5\％ |
| Debt Service Payment | $\$ 816,982$ | $\$ 699,379$ |
| Redevelopment Activities | $\$ 302,761$ | $\$ 247,866$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 1, I 19,743$ | $\$ 947,245$ |

## OTHER ISSUES

LYRB has not identified any major areas of concern with the 85－02 Project Area and believes that， according to the records reviewed，all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area．

## PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI－YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015，FY 2016，FY 2017 and multi－year budget from 2003 to 2021.

## OREM RDA 85-02

## 2015 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2014 |
| Payment Year | 2015 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 69,826,655 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 513,345 |
| Total Assessed Value | 70,340,000 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (7,333,972) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 63,006,028 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001149 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008096 |
| Orem City | 0.001716 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000036 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000422 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000013) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000220) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011186 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAXINCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | - |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 185,171 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 185,171 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | - |
| Land Sales Revenue | - |
| Loan Repayments to City | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 185,171 |
| Misc. Expenditures | 5,000 |
| Interest Revenue | $(4,598)$ |
| Redevelopment Activities | (402) |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 185,171 |

## OREM RDA 85-02

## 2016 Annual Budget

| Tax YearPayment Year | Yr. 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |
|  | 2016 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 69,826,655 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 513,345 |
| Total Assessed Value | 70,340,000 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (7,333,972) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 63,006,028 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.0000I2) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | - |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 186,624 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 186,624 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | - |
| Land Sales Revenue | - |
| Loan Repayments to City | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 185,171 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | 1,453 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 186,624 |

## OREM RDA 85-02

## 2017 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 28 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2016 |
| Payment Year | 2017 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 69,826,655 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 513,345 |
| Total Assessed Value | 70,340,000 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (7,333,972) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 63,006,028 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.0000I2) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | - |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 186,624 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 186,624 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | - |
| Land Sales Revenue | - |
| Loan Repayments to City | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 185,171 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | 1,453 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 186,624 |

## LYRB


Orem RDA 85-02
Ongoing Budget
Multi-Year Project Area Budget Projections


## LYRB <br> $\longrightarrow$ <br> $+4+4=$

## SECTION 3: OVERVIEW OF THE 85-03A PROJECT AREA

Table 4.I: Project Area Overview

| OVERVIEW |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { RDA }}$ | $\frac{\text { Acreage }}{99.98}$ | Purpose <br> Commercial Development | $\frac{\text { Taxing District }}{090}$ | $\frac{\text { Tax Rate }}{0.003090}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Creation Year }}{\text { FY } 1985}$ | $\frac{\text { Base Year }}{\text { FY } 1985}$ | $\frac{\text { Term }}{32 \text { Years }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trigger Year } \\ \text { FY } 1990 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expiration Year } \\ & \text { FY } 2021 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base Value } \\ & \hline \$ 30,552,708 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { TY } 2014 \text { Value }}{\$ 101,663,871}$ | $\frac{\text { Increase }}{232 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { FY } 2015 \text { Increment }}{\$ 219,333}$ | Remaining Years 6 Years |


collected in taxes collected in 2013 and paid to the Agency in 2014. On August 28, 2001, the Agency adopted a resolution (RDA-R-01-0004-000) solidifying its desire to trigger the extension option for all seven RDA project areas, including this RDA. This action extends the receipt of haircut revenues through and including taxes collected in 2020 and paid to the Agency in 202I. Note that haircut revenues will not include participation from the school district's portion of the tax rate. The original purpose of the 8503A Project Area was to establish an attractive entryway into the City, and to convert the property into a more economically productive area. RDA 85-03A is generally located along University Parkway between 250 West and 800 East.

## SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 4.2: Sources of Funds

| 2015 SOURCES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 219,333$ |
| Total Sources of Funds | $\$ 219,333$ |

Table 4.3: Tax Increment Levels

| TAX INCREMENT LEVELS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | \% of Tax Increment | \% of Haircut |
| $1990-1994$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $1995-1999$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $2000-2004$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $2005-2009$ | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| $2010-2014$ | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $2015-2021$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## USES OF FUNDS

Table 4.4: Uses of Funds

| 2015 USES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Developer Incentive Payment | $\$ 75,000$ |
| Debt Service Payments | $\$ 292,438$ |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | $\$ 16,400$ |
| Contribution to (Use of Fund) Balance | $(\$ 145,662)$ |
| Interest (Revenue) | $(\$ 18,842)$ |
| Total Uses of Funds | $\$ 219,333$ |

## DEVELOPER INCENTIVE PAYMENTS

In 2009, the Agency entered into an agreement with MLP Orem, LLC in which the Agency would remit to the developer tax increment over a period of 8 years. The payment was based off of the amount of sales tax that was generated by the car dealership built by the developer. This payment is dependent on the continuous operation of the dealership and the creation and maintaining of 20 full time jobs. For FY 2015 the Agency paid the developer \$75,000.

Table 4.5: Developer Incentive Payments

## 2015 DEVELOPER INCENTIVE PAYMENTS

| MLP Orem, LLC Payment | \$75,000 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Developer Incentive Payment | $\$ 75,000$ |

## DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

In 2002, Orem City issued $\$ 12,175,000$ in Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 to fund various recreational facilities and uses throughout the City. As per Utah State Code Title 17C-I-403, the Agency is using the haircut proceeds from all qualified Project Areas to repay the City for the Sales Tax Revenue debt service expenditures. In FY 2015, the 85-03A Project Area's portion of the debt service was $\$ 185,171$.

Table 4.6: Debt Service Payments

| 2015 DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Series 2002 Sales Tax Revenue Bond Payment |  | $\$ 292,438$ |
| Total Debt Service Payments | $\$ 292,438$ |  |

## PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

COMPARISON OF FORECASTED AND ACTUAL TAX INCREMENT

Table 4.7: Realization of Tax Increment

| REALIZATION OF TAX INCREMENT | FORECASTED | ACTUAL | $\%$ OF <br> PROJECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX INCREMENT GENERATED IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Increment - FY 2015 | $\$ 218,882$ | $\$ 219,333$ | $100.2 \%$ |

## RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE

Table 4.8: Growth in Assessed Value

| GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE | CURRENT YEAR | COMPARISON YEAR | GROWTH RATE | AAGR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSESSED VALUES IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 2013) | \$101,663,871 | \$98,071,207 | 3.66\% | 3.66\% |
| Lifetime Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. Base) | \$101,663,871 | \$30,552,708 | 232\% | 4.23\% |


| ASSESSED VALUES IN OREM CITY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual Growth in Orem City (2014 vs. 20I3) | $\$ 4,534,129,407$ | $\$ 4,287,504,710$ | $5.75 \%$ | $5.75 \%$ |
| Lifetime Growth in Orem City (2014 vs. 1996) | $\$ 4,534,129,407$ | $\$ 2,173,320,362$ | $108.63 \%$ | $4.17 \%$ |

## BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 4.9: Benefits to Taxing Entities

## BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITIES

*Increased Property Tax Revenues upon Expiration of Project Area
*Increased Sales Tax Revenues

## GROWTH IN PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT

Currently the only taxing entity receiving increment is the School District. Annual property tax increment (above the base amount) currently being returned to the School District is $610 \%$ above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels for all the taxing entities. Lifetime property tax increment (above the base amount) received by the School District is $137 \%$ above what would have been realized based on base year levels for all the taxing
entities．This number will increase significantly when the Project Area expires in 2021，and all of the taxing entities receive the benefit of the $232 \%$ increase in annual tax increment．

Table 4．10：Growth in Property Tax Increment

| GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT | ORIGINAL <br> BUDGET | ACTUAL <br> REVENUES | BASE YEAR <br> VALUE <br> REVENUES | ABOVE <br> BASE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 20I5 | NA |  | $\$ 219,333$ | $\$ 94,408$ |
| Lifetime Revenue（FY I990－20I5） | NA | $\$ 8,739,069$ | $\$ 2,687,538$ | $325 \%$ |


| PASS THROUGH TAX INCREMENT（ABOVE BASE） |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fiscal Year 20I5 | NA | $\$ 576, \mathrm{II} 16$ | $\$ 94,408$ | $610 \%$ |
| Lifetime Revenue（FY I990－20I5） | NA | $\$ 3,683,909$ | $\$ 2,687,538$ | $137 \%$ |

## NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

इ Dick＇s Sporting Goods Store
三 Orem Mazda
言 Lowe＇s
部 $\ln$ Out Burger

## FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi－year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected．

Table 4．II：Project Area Budget

| PROJECT AREA BUDGET | 2016－2021 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| REVENUES | TOTALS | NPV＠5\％ |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 1,263,788$ | $\$ 1,069,099$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 1,263,788$ | $\$ 1,069,099$ |
| EXPENDITURES | TOTALS | NPV＠5\％ |
| Developer Incentive Payments | $\$ 375,000$ | $\$ 340,406$ |
| Debt Service Payments | $\$ 2,318,729$ | $\$ 1,843,685$ |
| Contribution to（Use of Fund）Balance | $(\$ 1,429,942)$ | $(\$ 1,144,992)$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 1,263,788$ | $\$ 1,069,099$ |

## OTHER ISSUES

LYRB has not identified any major areas of concern with the 85－03A Project Area and believes that， according to the records reviewed，all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area．
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## PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017 and full multi-year budgets from 2003 to 2021.

## Orem 85-03A

2015 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2014 |
| Payment Year | 2015 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 98,532,680 |
| Personal Property | 1,468,014 |
| Centrally Assessed | 1,663,177 |
| Total Assessed Value | 101,663,871 |
| Less: Base Year Value | $(30,552,708)$ |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 71,111,163 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001149 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008096 |
| Orem City | 0.001716 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000036 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000422 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000013) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000220) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011186 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 219,333 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 219,333 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | - |
| Loan Repayments to City | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (University Square) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Eagle Hardware) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Mazda Orem) | 75,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 292,438 |
| Misc. Expenditures | 16,400 |
| Land Sales Revenues | - |
| Sales Tax Revenues from City | - |
| Intrest Revenue | $(18,842)$ |
| Redevelopment Activities | $(145,662)$ |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 219,333 |

## Orem 85-03A

2016 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2015 |
| Payment Year | 2016 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 98,532,680 |
| Personal Property | 1,468,014 |
| Centrally Assessed | 1,663,177 |
| Total Assessed Value | 101,663,871 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (30,552,708) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 71,111,163 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 210,631 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 210,631 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin |  |
| Loan Repayments to City |  |
| Developer Reimbursement (University Square) |  |
| Developer Reimbursement (Eagle Hardware) |  |
| Developer Reimbursement (Mazda Orem) | 125,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 280,000 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Land Sales Revenues | - |
| Sales Tax Revenues from City | - |
| Intrest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | $(194,369)$ |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 210,631 |

## Orem 85-03A

2017 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 28 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2016 |
| Payment Year | 2017 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 98,532,680 |
| Personal Property | 1,468,014 |
| Centrally Assessed | 1,663,177 |
| Total Assessed Value | 101,663,871 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (30,552,708) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 71,111,163 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 210,631 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 210,631 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | - |
| Loan Repayments to City | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (University Square) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Eagle Hardware) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Mazda Orem) | 125,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 200,000 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Land Sales Revenues | - |
| Sales Tax Revenues from City | - |
| Intrest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | $(114,369)$ |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 210,631 |

## LYRB

T
Orem RDA 85-03A
Ongoing Budget
Multi-Year Project
Multi-Year Project Area Budget Projections

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tax Year } \\ \text { Payment Year } \end{gathered}$ | Base <br> 1985 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 2002 \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 2003 \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 2004 \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 2005 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 2006 \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 2007 \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 2008 \\ & 2009 \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 2009 \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 2010 \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 2011 \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 2012 \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 2013 \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 2016 \\ & 2017 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 2017 \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 2018 \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 2019 \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 2020 \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | totals | NvVQ5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relevies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| taxable valuation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Localysasesesed Real |  |  |  | 84,4988,919 | 85,00,854 | ${ }^{85,565.877}$ | 848883001 | ${ }^{829889968}$ | 99,80,330 | 117,197,95 | 109,190,057 |  | 95,778,870 | 95,127,200 | ${ }^{92} 2151555$ | 98,522680 | 98,532880 | 98,532880 | 98,522880 | 98,523680 | 998,52680 | ${ }^{98,532680}$ |  |  |
| Pessonal Popenty |  |  |  | ${ }^{851,01}$ | 1,945,555 | 2,70,549 | 2204,41 | 2,047, 262 | 1,909,400 | 1.570,072 | 1.617,733 |  | 1,181,726 | 1,356,577 | 1,392908 | 1,468,0,14 | 1,468,014 | 1,468,0,14 | 1,468,0,4 | 1,488,014 | 1,4680,014 | 1.4680 .014 |  |  |
| Centrallysesesed |  |  |  | 1,093,968 | 793,137 | ${ }^{676,705}$ | ${ }^{672} 245$ | ${ }_{619,983}$ | ${ }^{843,648}$ | 8488,702 | 798.008 |  | 762321. | ${ }^{922,517}$ | 1,463214 | 1,663,17 | ${ }^{1,663,17}$ | 1,663,17 | 1,663,17 | ${ }^{1.663,17}$ | 1,663,17 | $1.663,177$ |  |  |
| Total Assessed Vaue |  |  |  | ${ }^{86,877,116}$ | 87,799,966 | 88204101 | 87,599,594 | 85,68, 13 | 102425:5,618 | 119,9617,79 | ${ }^{111,610,238}$ |  | $97,322,917$ | 97,405,344 | ${ }^{98,0712,207}$ | 10.6868 .871 | 101.6688871 | 1016,688871 | 101,688871 | 101,668,871 | 101,6688771 | 101,668,871 |  |  |
| Lesss base fear lalue |  | ${ }^{130,552708)}$ |  | (30,527.78) | (30.552770) | ${ }^{(30,5527,708)}$ | ${ }^{(30,5257708)}$ | (30.5527)08) | (30,552700) | ${ }^{(30,5527708)}$ | (30,5527808) |  | ${ }^{(80,5527708)}$ | ${ }^{(30,5527708)}$ | ${ }^{(30,5527708)}$ | ${ }^{(80,5527708)}$ | ${ }^{(30,5527,70)}$ | ${ }^{(30,5527,78)}$ | ${ }^{(30,5527708)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totall Incerenental Assessed Value |  |  | s | 55,344008 | 57,187,288 | 57,651,393 5 | 57 | 55,083,405 | 71,872,910 s | 89,064,061 5 | 81,067,50 | . s | $66,70,299$ s | 66,9852626 s | 67,518,999 | ${ }^{71,111,63}$ s | 71,111,63 s | 71,111,63 s | 71,111,63 s | 21,111,63 s | ${ }^{71,111,163} \mathrm{~s}$ | 21,111,63 |  |  |
| Real Property/Centrally Assessect Tax Rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actala Tx Rate Useds by Connyin TF Caluudion |  |  |  | 0.00003 | 0.010409 | 0.011740 | 0.001055 | 0.0010395 | ${ }^{0.008745}$ | ${ }^{0.009863}$ | 0.001048 |  | 0.012309 | 0.012371 | 0.011857 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actal Tax Rele used b Counyin HARCUT Talulution |  |  |  | 0.00345 | 0.003508 | 0.00322 | 0.00359 | 0.003512 | 0.02850 | 0.02706 | 0.00297 |  | 0.00397 | 0.005543 | 0.00382 | 0.00390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percento OTax lecementor Project |  |  |  | ${ }^{75 \%}$ | ${ }^{75 \%}$ | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | \% | \%\% | \% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 25\% | 25\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | ${ }^{40 \%}$ | 100\% | 100\% | $100 \%$ | 100\% | 100\% | $100 \%$ | 100\% |  |  |
| TAXICCREMENT REEEVUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Propery Tx hncerenent |  |  |  | 420.641 s | 100,00 s | 250,00 s | 332711 s | 396.818 s | 437,880 s | ${ }_{522.566}$ | 577.988 | 520.886 |  |  | $480,30 \mathrm{~s}$ |  |  | s | - s | - s | - |  | S 7,40,40 |  |
| Less crient lear hoollected |  |  |  | . s | s | s | s | s | $s$ | s | s |  | (18,241) s | (6.535) 8 | (1,533) s | . s |  | s | - s | s | s |  | s (20,299 | ${ }^{8,395)}$ |
| Puss Piorerears late colvecioins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.237 | 15.004 | 5.818 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S 45,059 | S 142606 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haicuthrement |  |  |  | 48.877 | 154,748 s | $112,931 \mathrm{~s}$ | 55,169 | 57,46 s | 61,30 s | 63,94 s | 95,32 s | 99,30 s | ${ }_{93,398}$ | $94,74{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | 219,73 s | $210,631 \mathrm{~s}$ | 210,3315 | $210,631 \mathrm{~s}$ | 210,63 | 210,63 | 210,63 | s 2511,988 | ${ }^{76,3,34}$ |
| Lesscurent Yea lunolocted |  |  |  | s |  | s |  |  | $s$ | s |  | s | (3,455) ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{(1,248)}$ s | ${ }^{(255)}$ ) | (400) 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | s (5.358) | ${ }^{(1,700}$ |
| Puss fior Yearal Laie coloceions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3} 93980$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.74}$ | ${ }^{1,102}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s 17.746 |  |
| Total Airutureceived by Agency |  |  | 5 | 48.877 | $159,78{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $112,391 \mathrm{~s}$ | $55,69{ }^{\text {s }}$ | 57,468 s | 61,130 s | 63,34 s | $95,342 \mathrm{~s}$ | 9,9,60 s | 93,874 s | 96,210 s | ${ }^{91,645} \mathrm{~s}$ | ${ }^{219,333} \mathrm{~s}$ | $210,631 \mathrm{~s}$ | 210,631 s | $210,031 \mathrm{~s}$ | 210.631 s | 210,031 s | ${ }^{210,631}{ }^{3}$ | s $25.517,786$ | - 765.500 |
| TOTAL Reverves receved |  |  | $s$ | 469,519 s | $280,78{ }^{\text {s }}$ | $322,931 \mathrm{~s}$ | 447,408 | $454,264 \mathrm{~s}$ | 488.609 S | $596,880 \mathrm{~s}$ | 622,399 S | $619,46 \mathrm{~s}$ | ${ }_{522,995} \mathrm{~s}$ | 600,899 s | 576.50 s | $219,333 \mathrm{~s}$ | $210,031 \mathrm{~s}$ | 210,631 s | $210,031 \mathrm{~s}$ | $210,631 \mathrm{~s}$ | 210,631 s | 210,631 / | \% 10,02,866 | \% 4,216,314 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Essimated Toal Pass Through h herement |  |  | s | 91,336 s | $334,514 \mathrm{~s}$ | 313,96 s | 156,50 s | 118,328 s | 122,362 S | $164,300 \mathrm{~s}$ | 256,457 S | 24,734 s | 228880 s | 226,135 s | 224,177 | 576.116 s | 581,776 | 581,776 | 581,476 | 581,476 S | 581,476 | 581476 ¢ | \% 7,172,765 | \% 2,32,552 |
| ExeENOTIURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDAAAmin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $s$ |  |
| Loan Repamenens ocily |  |  |  | ${ }^{420,642}$ | 203767 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s 3,46,500 | $1.863,377$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{39887}$ | 41.053 | ${ }_{54847}$ | ${ }^{53,947}$ | 75,00 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |  |  |  | s 639724 | ${ }^{168,366}$ |
| Denlsencee (Haiructiligib) |  |  |  | 48.87 | 154,788 | ${ }^{351,288}$ | ${ }^{47,940}$ | ${ }^{57} 746$ | ${ }^{284,368}$ | ${ }^{63,34}$ | 295,32 | 99,360 | ${ }^{93874}$ | 96,210 | 91,645 | ${ }^{2224.48}$ | 280,00 | 200,00 | 200,00 |  |  |  | S 2.657730 | ${ }^{881,525}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.588 | 114462 | ${ }^{850,766}$ |  |  |  | 3,539 |  |  | 16.400 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (ters |  |
| Reimbursement to General Fund for Sales Tax Revenue Loan Intrest Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (9.674) | [21.651) | (16.071) | ${ }^{18,49}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1,887,799 | \%327.537 <br> ${ }^{248371}$ |
| Refedelopmentectivies |  |  |  | (0) | (97,67) | ${ }_{11,683}$ | 374942 | 28.356 | (636,52) | 532256 | ${ }^{32}, 988$ | 4898883 | 476,180 | 4659.9 | 449280 | (1456,62) | (194,369) | (114,369) | (114,369) | 210,631 | 210,631 | [1,288,088] | ¢ 1224,599 | ${ }^{202089}$ |
| Total Sess |  |  | s | 469,519 s | 200,78 s | $352,231 \mathrm{~s}$ | 447,940 | $454,264 \mathrm{~s}$ | 498,609 s | $596,48 \mathrm{~s}$ | $623,039 \mathrm{~s}$ | 619,468 | 592,995 s | 600,999 5 | 576,450 s | $219,33 \mathrm{~s}$ | 210,631 s | $210,031 \mathrm{~s}$ | $210,631 \mathrm{~s}$ | $210,631 \mathrm{~s}$ | 210,631 s | 210,631 [ | 10,022,866 | 4,015,537 |

## SECTION 4: OVERVIEW OF THE 85-03B PROJECT AREA

Table 5.I: Project Area Overview

| OVERVIEW |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { RDA }}$ | $\frac{\text { Acreage }}{87.90}$ | Purpose Commercial Development | $\frac{\text { Taxing District }}{090}$ | $\frac{\text { Tax Rate }}{0.003090}$ |
| Creation Year FY 1985 | $\frac{\text { Base Year }}{\text { FY } 1985}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Term } \\ & 32 \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trigger Year } \\ \text { FY } 1990 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Expiration Year }}{\text { FY } 2021}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Base Value }}{\$ 6,854,457}$ | $\frac{\text { TY } 2014 \text { Value }}{\$ 115,706,212}$ | $\frac{\text { Increase }}{1,588 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { FY } 2015 \text { Increment }}{\$ 319,731}$ | Remaining Life 6 Years |



The 85-03B Project Area was created in December 1985 and is governed by the (a) "Redevelopment Plan \#85-03-B" This document and the associated ordinances and resolutions define the duration and use of property tax generated within the Project Area as well as conditions and obligations by the Agency and each participating taxing entity. As the 85-03B Project Area was created prior to 1993, a taxing entity committee was not established for this project Area.

The Project Area is currently intended to draw property tax increment beginning with the taxes collected in 1989 and remitted to the Agency in 1990 and continue for 25 years through and including taxes collected in 2013 and paid to the Agency in 2014. On August 28, 200I, the Agency adopted a resolution (RDA-R-0I-0004-000) solidifying its desire to trigger the extension option for all seven RDA project areas, including this RDA. This action extends the receipt of haircut revenues through and including taxes collected in 2020 and paid to the Agency in 2021. Note that haircut revenues will not include participation from the school district's portion of the tax rate. The original purpose of the 8503B Project Area was to establish an attractive entryway into the City, and to convert the property into a more economically productive area. RDA 85-03B is generally located along University Parkway between I-I5 and 250 West.
$\longrightarrow$

## SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 5.2: Sources of Funds

| 2015 SOURCES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 319,731$ |
| Total Sources of Funds | $\$ 319,731$ |

Table 5.3: Tax Increment Levels

| TAX INCREMENT LEVELS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | \% of Tax Increment | \% of Haircut |
| $1990-1994$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $1995-1999$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $2000-2004$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $2005-2009$ | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| $2010-2014$ | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $2015-2021$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## USES OF FUNDS

Table 5.4: Uses of Funds

| 2015 USES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| RDA Administration | $\$ 165,000$ |
| Debt Service | $\$ 84,716$ |
| Contribution to (Use of Fund) Balance | $(\$ 199,379)$ |
| Interest (Revenue) | $(\$ 33,218)$ |
| Total Uses of Funds | $\$ 319,731$ |

## DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

In 2002, Orem City issued $\$ 12,175,000$ in Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 to fund various recreational facilities and uses throughout the City. As per Utah State Code Title 17C-I-403, the Agency is using the haircut proceeds from all qualified Project Areas to repay the City for the Sales Tax Revenue debt service expenditures. In FY 2015, the 85-03B Project Area's portion of the debt service was $\$ 387,328$.

Table 5.5: Debt Service Payments

| 2015 DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Series 2002 Sales Tax Revenue Bond Payment |  |
| Total Debt Service Payments | $\$ 387,328$ |

PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY
COMPARISON OF FORECASTED AND ACTUAL TAX INCREMENT

Table 5．6：Realization of Tax Increment

| REALIZATION OF TAX INCREMENT | FORECASTED | ACTUAL | $\%$ OF <br> PROJECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX INCREMENT GENERATED IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Increment－FY 2015 | $\$ 338,807$ | $\$ 319,731$ | $94 \%$ |

## RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE

Table 5．7：Growth in Assessed Value

| GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE | CURRENT <br> YEAR | COMPARISON <br> YEAR | GROWTH <br> RATE | AAGR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| ASSESSED VALUES IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Growth in Project Area（2014 vs．20I3） | $\$ 115,706,212$ | $\$ 111,705,020$ | $3.58 \%$ | $3.58 \%$ |
| Lifetime Growth in Project Area（2014 vs．Base） | $\$ 115,706,212$ | $\$ 6,854,457$ | $1,588 \%$ | $10.24 \%$ |


| ASSESSED VALUES IN OREM CITY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual Growth in Orem City（20I4 vs．20I3） | $\$ 4,534, \mathrm{I} 29,407$ | $\$ 4,287,504,7 \mathrm{IO}$ | $5.75 \%$ | $5.75 \%$ |
| Lifetime Growth in Orem City（20I4 vs．I996） | $\$ 4,534, I 29,407$ | $\$ 2,173,320,362$ | $108.63 \%$ | $4.17 \%$ |

## BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 5．8：Benefits to Taxing Entities

## BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITIES

＊Increased Property Tax Revenues upon Expiration of Project Area
＊Increased Sales Tax Revenues

## GROWTH IN PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT

Currently the only taxing entity receiving increment is the School District．Annual property tax increment（above the base amount）currently being returned to the School District is I，I7I\％above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels for all the taxing entities．Lifetime property tax increment（above the base amount）received by the School District is $374 \%$ above what would have been realized based on base year levels for all the taxing entities．This number will increase significantly when the Project Area expires in 2021，and all of the taxing entities receive the benefit of the $417 \%$ increase in annual tax increment．

Table 5．9：Growth in Property Tax Increment

| GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT | ORIGINAL BUDGET | ACTUAL REVENUES | BASE YEAR VALUE REVENUES | ABOVE BASE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 2015 | NA | \＄319，731 | \＄76，674 | 417\％ |
| Lifetime Revenue（FY 1990－2015） | NA | \＄10，529，226 | \＄1，865，564 | 564\％ |


| PASS THROUGH TAX INCREMENT（ABOVE BASE） |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fiscal Year 20I5 | NA | $\$ 897,885$ | $\$ 76,674$ | I，17I\％ |
| Lifetime Revenue（FY 1990－20I5） | NA | $\$ 6,979,408$ | $\$ 1,865,564$ | $374 \%$ |

## NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

＝Wal－Mart
三 Hampton Inn
三 Ken Garff Nissan
言 IHOP
倍 Ross
言 La Quinto Inn \＆Suites

## FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi－year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected．

Table 5．10：Project Area Budget

| FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET | 2016－2021 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| REVENUES | TOTALS | NPV＠5\％ |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 1,934,513$ | $\$ 1,636,499$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 1,934,513$ | $\$ 1,636,499$ |
| EXPENDITURES | TOTALS | NPV＠5\％ |
| RDA Administration | $\$ 990,000$ | $\$ 837,489$ |
| Debt Service Payments | $\$ 2,066,668$ | $\$ 1,697,122$ |
| Contribution to（Use of Fund）Balance | $(\$ 1,12,155)$ | $(\$ 898,112)$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 1,934,513$ | $\$ 1,636,499$ |

## OTHER ISSUES

LYRB has not identified any major areas of concern with the 85－03B Project Area and believes that， according to the records reviewed，all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area．

## PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017 and projected multi-year budgets from 2003 to 2021.

## Orem RDA 85-03B

## 2015 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2014 |
| Payment Year | 2015 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 115,326,240 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 379,972 |
| Total Assessed Value | 115,706,212 |
| Less: Base Year Value | $(6,854,457)$ |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 108,85।,755 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001149 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008096 |
| Orem City | 0.001716 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000036 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000422 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000013) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000220) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011186 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAXINCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 319,731 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 319,731 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | 165,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Walmart) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Lake Point) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 387,328 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Sales Tax Revenue from City | - |
| Interest Revenue | $(33,218)$ |
| Redevelopment Activities | $(199,379)$ |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 319,731 |

## Orem RDA 85-03B

## 2016 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2015 |
| Payment Year | 2016 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 115,326,240 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 379,972 |
| Total Assessed Value | 115,706,212 |
| Less: Base Year Value | $(6,854,457)$ |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 108,85।,755 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAXINCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 322,419 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 322,419 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | 165,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Walmart) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Lake Point) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 319,731 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Sales Tax Revenue from City | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | (162,312) |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 322,419 |

## Orem RDA 85-03B

## 2017 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 28 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2016 |
| Payment Year | 2017 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 115,326,240 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 379,972 |
| Total Assessed Value | 115,706,212 |
| Less: Base Year Value | $(6,854,457)$ |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 108,85।,755 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAXINCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 322,419 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 322,419 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | 165,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Walmart) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Lake Point) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 319,731 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Sales Tax Revenue from City | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | (162,312) |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 322,419 |
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## LYRB <br> $\longrightarrow$

## SECTION 5: OVERVIEW OF THE 85-04 PROJECT AREA

Table 6.I: Project Area Overview

| OVERVIEW |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { RDA }}$ | $\frac{\text { Acreage }}{78.56}$ | Purpose Commercial \& Recreational Development | $\frac{\text { Taxing District }}{090}$ | $\frac{\text { Tax Rate }}{0.003090}$ |
| Creation Year FY 1986 | $\frac{\text { Base Year }}{\text { FY } 1986}$ | $\frac{\text { Term }}{32 \text { Years }}$ | $\frac{\text { Trigger Year }}{\text { FY } 1990}$ | Expiration Year FY 2021 |
| Base Value $\$ 18,801,179$ | $\frac{\text { TY } 2014 \text { Value }}{\$ 53,491,855}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increase } \\ 185 \% \end{gathered}$ | FY 2015 Increment $\$ 92,73$ I | Remaining Life 6 Years |



The 85-04 Project Area was created in September 1986 and is governed by the (a) "Redevelopment Plan for Redevelopment Project No. 85-04" This document and the associated ordinances and resolutions define the duration and use of property tax generated within the Project Area as well as conditions and obligations by the Agency and each participating taxing entity. As the 85-04 Project Area was created prior to 1993, a taxing entity committee was not established for this project Area.

The Project Area is currently intended to draw property tax increment beginning with the taxes collected in 1989 and remitted to the Agency in 1990 and continue for 25 years through and including taxes collected in 2013 and paid to the Agency in 2014. On August 28, 200I, the Agency adopted a resolution (RDA-R-0I-0004-000) solidifying its desire to trigger the extension option for all seven RDA project areas, including this RDA. This action extends the receipt of haircut revenues through and including taxes collected in 2020 and paid to the Agency in 202I. Note that haircut revenues will not include participation from the school district's portion of the tax rate. The original purpose of the 8504 Project Area was to convert the property into a more economically productive area and encourage owners of property within the Project Area to appropriately develop and beautify their properties. RDA 85-04 is located generally along State Street between 400 South and 900 South.
$\longrightarrow$

## $\pi$

## SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 6.2: Sources of Funds

| 2015 SOURCES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 92,731$ |
| Total Sources of Funds | $\$ 92,731$ |

Table 6.3: Tax Increment Levels

| TAX INCREMENT LEVELS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | \% of Tax Increment | \% of Haircut |
| $1990-1994$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $1995-1999$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $2000-2004$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $2005-2009$ | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| $2010-2014$ | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $2015-2021$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## USES OF FUNDS

Table 6.4: Uses of Funds

| 2015 USES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| RDA Administration | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Interest (Revenue) | $(\$ 732)$ |
| Redevelopment Activities | $\$ 43,463$ |
| Total Uses of Funds | $\$ 92,731$ |

## PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

COMPARISON OF FORECASTED AND ACTUAL TAX INCREMENT

Table 6.5: Realization of Tax Increment

| REALIZATION OF TAX INCREMENT | FORECASTED | ACTUAL | \% OF <br> PROJECTION |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX INCREMENT GENERATED IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Increment - FY 20I5 | $\$ 106,488$ | $\$ 92,73 \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ |

## RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE

Table 6.6: Growth in Assessed Value

| GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE | CURRENT <br> YEAR | COMPARISON <br> YEAR | GROWTH <br> RATE | AAGR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSESSED VALUES IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 2013 ) | $\$ 53,491,855$ | $\$ 49,962,299$ | $7.06 \%$ | $7.06 \%$ |
| Lifetime Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. Base) | $\$ 53,491,855$ | $\$ 18,801,179$ | $184.51 \%$ | $3.67 \%$ |

ASSESSED VALUES IN OREM CITY

| Annual Growth in Orem City (20I4 vs. 20I3) | $\$ 4,534,129,407$ | $\$ 4,287,504,710$ | $5.75 \%$ | $5.75 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lifetime Growth in Orem City (20I4 vs. I996) | $\$ 4,534,129,407$ | $\$ 2,173,320,362$ | $108.63 \%$ | $4.17 \%$ |

## BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 6.7: Benefits to Taxing Entities

## BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITIES

*Increased Property Tax Revenues upon Expiration of Project Area
*Increased Sales Tax Revenues

## GROWTH IN PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT

Currently the only taxing entity receiving increment is the School District. Annual property tax increment (above the base amount) currently being returned to the School District is $508 \%$ above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels for all the taxing entities. Lifetime property tax increment (above the base amount) received by the School District is $114 \%$ above what would have been realized based on base year levels for all the taxing entities. This number will increase significantly when the Project Area expires in 2021, and all of the taxing entities receive the benefit of the $158 \%$ increase in annual tax increment.

Table 6.8: Growth in Property Tax Increment

| GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT | ORIGINAL <br> BUDGET | ACTUAL <br> REVENUES | BASE YEAR <br> VALUE <br> REVENUES | ABOVE <br> BASE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 2015 | NA | $\$ 91,731$ | $\$ 58,096$ | $158 \%$ |
| Lifetime Revenue (FY 1990-2015) | NA | $\$ 2,942,960$ | $\$ 2,105,619$ | $140 \%$ |


| PASS THROUGH TAX INCREMENT (ABOVE BASE) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fiscal Year 2015 | NA | $\$ 295,319$ | $\$ 58,096$ | $508 \%$ |
| Lifetime Revenue (FY 1990-2015) | NA | $\$ 2,389,937$ | $\$ 2,105,619$ | $114 \%$ |

## NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

© Scera Park and Theater
= Good Earth Natural Foods

言 Wingers
三 Law Offices

## FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi-year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected.
$\longrightarrow$

Table 6.9: Project Area Budget

| FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET | 2016-2021 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| REVENUES | TOTALS | NPV @5\% |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 616,523$ | $\$ 521,547$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 616,523$ | $\$ 521,547$ |
| EXPENDITURES | TOTALS | NPV @5\% |
| RDA Administration | $\$ 300,000$ | $\$ 253,785$ |
| Debt Service | $\$ 59,362$ | $\$ 44,297$ |
| Redevelopment Activities | $\$ 257,161$ | $\$ 223,465$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 616,523$ | $\$ 521,547$ |

## OTHER ISSUES

LYRB has not identified any major areas of concern with the Union Heights Project Area and believes that, according to the records reviewed, all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area.

## PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017 and projected multi-year budgets from 2003 to 2021.

## OREM RDA 85-04

## 2015 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2014 |
| Payment Year | 2015 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 53,082,570 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 409,285 |
| Total Assessed Value | 53,491,855 |
| Less: Base Year Value | $(18,801,179)$ |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 34,690,676 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001149 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008096 |
| Orem City | 0.001716 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000036 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000422 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.0000 3 ) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000220) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011186 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 92,731 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 92,731 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | 50,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Carter Const.) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Village East Assoc.) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | - |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Interest Revenue | (732) |
| Redevelopment Activities | 43,463 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 92,731 |

## OREM RDA 85-04

## 2016 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2015 |
| Payment Year | 2016 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 53,082,570 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 409,285 |
| Total Assessed Value | 53,491,855 |
| Less: Base Year Value | $(18,801,179)$ |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 34,690,676 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.0000I2) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | - |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 102,754 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 102,754 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | 50,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Carter Const.) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Village East Assoc.) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | - |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | 52,754 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 102,754 |

## OREM RDA 85-04

## 2017 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 28 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2016 |
| Payment Year | 2017 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 53,082,570 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 409,285 |
| Total Assessed Value | 53,491,855 |
| Less: Base Year Value | $(18,801,179)$ |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 34,690,676 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | - |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 102,754 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 102,754 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | 50,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Carter Const.) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Village East Assoc.) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | - |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | 52,754 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 102,754 |

## ㄴ․․

Orem RDA 85-04
Ongoing Budget
Multi-Year Project Area Budget Projections

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tax Year } \\ \text { Payment Year } \end{array}$ | Base 1985 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 2002 \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 2003 \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 2004 \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 2005 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 2006 \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 2007 \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 2008 \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 2009 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 2010 \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 2011 \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 2012 \\ & \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 2013 \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#HISTORIC } \\ 26 \\ 2014 \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ |  | 28 2016 2017 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 2017 \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30018 \\ & \\ & 2019 \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 2019 \\ 2020 \\ 2020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 2020 \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | totals | NPV@ 5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relenes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| taxablevaluation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Looallysesessed Real |  | 40,182,964 | 40,32,872 | 40,40,57 | 0,0923,31 | 41,24,558 | 46,35,006 | 52,297230 | 52,70,64 |  | 50287725 | 49,913,900 | 9,988,24 | 5, 3 ,82570 | 53,02,500 | 53,025,50 | 53,02,570 | 3,302,50 | 53,82,570 | 53,028570 |  |  |
| Personal Popenty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Centralysessed Toil Assesel laue |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{41,565429}$ | ${ }_{4}^{41,717,512}$ | ${ }_{46,881,185}^{69,79}$ | 52,007,411 | ${ }_{53259,948}^{59,184}$ |  | ${ }_{50,7212235}^{42000}$ | 50,355,208 | $\begin{array}{r} 473,875 \\ 49,962,299 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{53} 3991.855$ | $\begin{array}{r} 409,285 \\ 53,491,855 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {53,491, } 855}^{40.285}$ | ${ }_{53,991,955}^{40,985}$ |  | ${ }_{53,499,955}^{40295}$ | ${ }_{53,9918.855}^{40,985}$ |  |  |
| Less Base Yearalaue | (1880, 179 | (1880,1,19) | (1880, 179) | (18,801,179) | (18,801,179) | (1880, 179) | (1880, 179) | (18800,179) | (18,80,179) |  | (1880,179) | (18,80, 179) | (1880, 179) | (188001,179) | (18,801,179) | (18800,179) | (18,80, 179) | (18880,179) | (18,80, 179) | (18,80, 179) |  |  |
| Total licerenental AssessedValue |  | $23,1212,377$ | 22,924,486 S | 23,57,197 s | 23,53,117 s | ${ }^{22,916,333} \mathrm{~s}$ | 28,80,006 s | 34,00,302 s | 34,48,769 s | - | 3,9,11,056 S | 31,54,292 s | 31,61,120 s | 34,600,676 | $34,680,676$ S | 34,60,676 S | 34,600,676 | 34,60,676 S | 34,600,676 | 34,600,676 |  |  |
| Real ProperylCentrally Assessed Tax Rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.011392 | 0.01740 | .01601 | 000009 | 0.0097 | 0.0050 | 0.0630 | 0. |  | 0013 |  | 0.01188 | 0.01139 | 0.01139 | 0.01139 | 0.011139 | 0.011139 | 19 |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{0.0100063}$ |  |  | .0.0055 | ${ }^{0.0003935}$ | ${ }^{0.000745}$ | 0.009663 0.002706 | (0.0040487 |  | -0.0033997 | ${ }^{0.0005354}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.001837}$ | 0.00390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{796}$ | ${ }^{75 \%}$ | ${ }^{706}$ | ${ }_{0}{ }_{0}$ | ${ }^{706}$ | ${ }^{70 \%}$ | ${ }^{70 \%}$ | ${ }^{60 \%}$ | ${ }^{60 \%}$ | 60\% | ${ }^{60 \%}$ | ${ }^{6018}$ | 0 | ${ }^{0.6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Peremenof Tranlocenentor Haicut |  | 25\% | 25\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 80\% | ${ }_{40 \%}$ | $40 \%$ | 40\% | ${ }^{40 \%}$ | ${ }_{40 \%}$ | 10\%\% | 100\% | $100 \%$ | 100\% | 100\% | 00\% | 10\%\% |  |  |
| TTXX ICREMENT REIENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Propertiox creement |  | \$ | s | 100,000 s | 138,014 s | 1949988 | 197238 s | 205,74 \$ | 219,629 s | 258,027 s | 235.676 s | 234,213 s | 221,686 s | - s | s | - s | s | - s | s | . | S 2408 ,323 | 990,36 |
| Less Curent Year hoclecesed |  | \% - \$ | s | s | s | s | s | s | s | $s$ | ${ }^{37,532) ~ s}$ | (63,83) 8 | (38,27) 8 | - s | s | - s | s | . s | s |  | (1394933) | (432711) |
| Puss Piore Years late colecefions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.204 | 2,541 | 59982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 94,688 | 28,69 |
| Total Tax norement Received by Agency |  | s . s | - s | 100,000 s | 138,014 s | 194,488 | 197738 s | 205,745 s | 219,629 s | 25,027 s | 205, 248 | 197,291 | $243,41{ }^{\text {s }}$ | - | - 5 | - | - s | - | - s | . | S 23.363485 | 975,62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haicuth herenent |  | \% 79,956 \$ | 75.274 | 21.508 s | 19,436 s | 27,800 \& | 2,762 s | 24,8488 | 38.70 s | ${ }^{48,931} 8$ | 44.6378 | 44.718 s | 41,005 s | 107,1948 | 102754 | 10275 | 102754 | 10275 | 102754 | 102754 | 12192923 | ${ }^{375.566}$ |
| Less Curent Year uncoleceded |  | s - s | $s$ | s | $s$ | s | s | s | s | s | (7,109) 5 | (12,188) s | (1207) 8 | (14463) s | s | -s | $s$ | -s | s |  | S 40,987 | (122899 |
| Pus Piorcreast late collefions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,488 | 5.228 | 11,413 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17,76 | 5.359 |
| Total lairut Received by Ageny |  | 79,956 s | 15.274 s | 21,508 s | $19,436 \mathrm{~s}$ | 2,8,60 s | 27,662 s | $24,848 \mathrm{~S}$ | $38,70 \mathrm{~s}$ | 48.931 s | 38.676 S | 37,739 s | 46,111 s | 92,731 s | $102,754 \mathrm{~s}$ | 102754 s | 102754 s | 102754 s | 102,74 s | 102,75 | S 1,196,025 | 368,26 |
| ToTAL REEEENUE R REEEVED |  | 79,966 s | ${ }_{15,274}$ | $121,508 \mathrm{~s}$ | $157,49 \mathrm{~s}$ | 222,358 | $224,901 \mathrm{~s}$ | $230,533 \mathrm{~s}$ | 258,399 s | 306,959 s | 244,024 s | 235.660 s | 289,535 | ${ }^{22,731}$ s | $102,754 \mathrm{~s}$ | 102754 s | 102754 s | 102754 s | 102754 s | 102754 | S 3,559,433 | ${ }_{\text {1,34,788 }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expevoluves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proiect Aeae uidetand Useso ff unds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROAAdmin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30658 |  | ${ }^{235650}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{29555}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | 50,00 s | 50,000 s | 50,00 s | 50,00 s | 50,00 s | 50,00 s |  | \$485409 <br> 5 <br> 228936 |  |
|  |  | 79.956 | 75.27 | 21.508 |  | ${ }^{235808}$ | 224,01 |  |  | ${ }^{306,958}$ | ${ }^{201,366}$ | 2356,60 | ${ }^{289,552}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 $\begin{aligned} & 2288989 \\ & 5 \\ & 5\end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hneestreemue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92) | (644) | $\left.{ }^{385}\right)$ | (375) | (732) |  |  |  |  |  |  | S (2.227 |  |
| Reteienopmenteldivies |  |  |  | 100,000 | (44,551) | (55,49) |  |  |  | 92 | 42932 | 385 | 375 | 43463 | 52724 | 52,75 | 52724 | 52,75 | 52,75 | 6,008 | S 35,882 | ${ }_{113,511}$ |
| Totaluses |  | 79,966 | 15.274 s | 121,508 s | $157,49 \mathrm{~s}$ | 2223588 | 224,901 s | $200,593 \mathrm{~s}$ | 258,399 s | 300.959 s | 244,024 s | 235,660 s | 289,553 | 92,31 s | 102,75 s | 102754 s | 102,75 s | 102754 s | 102,75 s | 102,74 | - 3,55, 483 | 1,343,788 |

## SECTION 6: OVERVIEW OF THE 87-10 PROJECT AREA

Table 7.I: Project Area Overview

| OVERVIEW |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { RDA }}$ | $\frac{\text { Acreage }}{\text { NA }}$ | Purpose <br> Commercial Development | $\frac{\text { Taxing District }}{090}$ | $\frac{\text { Tax Rate }}{0.011186}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Creation Year }}{\text { FY } 1988}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base Year } \\ & \text { FY } 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Term }}{32 \text { Years }}$ | $\frac{\text { Trigger Year }}{\text { FY } 1991}$ | $\frac{\text { Expiration Year }}{\text { FY } 2022}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Base Value }}{\$ 32,815,215}$ | $\frac{\text { TY } 2014 \text { Value }}{\$ 67,928,121}$ | $\frac{\text { Increase }}{107 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { FY } 20 \text { I5 Increment }}{\$ 244, \text { I } 54}$ | $\frac{\text { Remaining Life }}{7 \text { Years }}$ |



The 87-10 Project Area was created in February 1988 and is governed by the (a) "Amended Redevelopment Plan for Amended Redevelopment Project No. 87-I0", adopted by the City in August 1989. This document and the associated ordinances and resolutions define the duration and use of property tax generated within the Project Area as well as conditions and obligations by the Agency and each participating taxing entity. As the 87-IO Project Area was created prior to 1993, a taxing entity committee was not established for this project Area.

The Project Area is currently intended to draw property tax increment beginning with the taxes collected in 1990 and remitted to the Agency in 1991 and continue for 25 years through and including taxes collected in 2014 and paid to the Agency in 2015. On August 28, 2001, the Agency adopted a resolution (RDA-R-0I-0004-000) solidifying its desire to trigger the extension option for all seven RDA project areas, including this RDA. This action extends the receipt of haircut revenues through and including taxes collected in 202I and paid to the Agency in 2022. Note that haircut revenues will not include participation from the school district's portion of the tax rate. The original purpose of the 8710 Project Area was to convert the property into a more economically productive area, encourage owners of property within the Project Area to appropriately develop and beautify their properties and take the steps necessary to promote the aim of improving the Project Area and preventing further deterioration within the area. RDA 87-10 is located generally along State Street between 400 North and 400 South.
$\longrightarrow$
ITTITI

## SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 7.2: Sources of Funds

## 2015 SOURCES OF FUNDS

| Property Tax Increment | $\$ 206,184$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 37,970$ |
| Total Sources of Funds | $\$ 244,154$ |

Table 7.3: Tax Increment Levels

| TAX INCREMENT LEVELS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | \% of Tax Increment | \% of Haircut |
| $1991-1995$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $1996-2000$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $2001-2005$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $2006-2010$ | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| $2011-2015$ | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $2016-2022$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## USES OF FUNDS

Table 7.4: Uses of Funds

| 2015 USES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Debt Service Payments | $\$ 54,447$ |
| Developer Incentive Payments | $\$ 220,000$ |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Interest (Revenue) | $(\$ 1,578)$ |
| Contribution to (Use of Fund) Balance | $(\$ 33,715)$ |
| Total Uses of Funds | $\$ 244,154$ |

## DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

In 2002, Orem City issued $\$ 12,175,000$ in Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 to fund various recreational facilities and uses throughout the City. As per Utah State Code Title 17C-I-403, the Agency is using the haircut proceeds from all qualified Project Areas to repay the City for the Sales Tax Revenue debt service expenditures. In FY 2015, the 87-IO Project Area's portion of the debt service was \$54,447.

Table 7.5: Debt Service Payments

## 2015 DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

| Series 2002 Sales Tax Revenue Bond Payment | $\$ 54,447$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Debt Service Payments | $\$ 54,447$ |

## LYRB

## DEVELOPER INCENTIVE PAYMENTS

In 1989, the Agency entered into a developer agreement with Boyer Orem Associates, in which the RDA would reimburse the developer a portion of the costs related to the redevelopment of at least 220,000 square feet of new retail shell space and its associated infrastructure within the Project Area on or before January I, 1991. The agreement states that the Agency will pay the developer an annual payment of $95 \%$ of the tax increment generated off of the property up to $\$ 220,000$ for a period of twenty-four years. In FY 2015 the Agency paid $\$ 220,000$ to the developer. FY 2015 was the final year of payment to the developer.

Table 7.6: Developer Incentive Payments

| 2015 DEVELOPER INCENTIVE PAYMENTS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Boyer Orem Associates Payment | $\$ 220,000$ |
| Total Developer Incentive Payment | $\$ 220,000$ |

## PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

## COMPARISON OF FORECASTED AND ACTUAL TAX INCREMENT

Table 7.7: Realization of Tax Increment

| REALIZATION OF TAX INCREMENT | FORECASTED | ACTUAL | $\%$ OF <br> PROJECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX INCREMENT GENERATED IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Increment - FY 2015 | $\$ 275,208$ | $\$ 244,154$ | $89 \%$ |

## RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE

Table 7.8: Growth in Assessed Value

| GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE | CURRENT <br> YEAR | COMPARISON <br> YEAR | GROWTH <br> RATE | AAGR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSESSED VALUES IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 20I3) | $\$ 67,443,036$ | $\$ 65,566,698$ | $3.6 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| Lifetime Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. Base) | $\$ 67,443,036$ | $\$ 32,815,215$ | $107 \%$ | $2.54 \%$ |


| ASSESSED VALUES IN OREM CITY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual Growth in Orem City (20I4 vs. 20I3) | $\$ 4,534, I 29,407$ | $\$ 4,287,504,710$ | $5.75 \%$ | $5.75 \%$ |
| Lifetime Growth in Orem City (20I4 vs. I996) | $\$ 4,534,129,407$ | $\$ 2,173,320,362$ | $108.63 \%$ | $4.17 \%$ |

## BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 7.I0: Benefits to Taxing Entities

## BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITIES

*Increased Property Tax Revenues upon Expiration of Project Area
*Increased Sales Tax Revenues

## GROWTH IN PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT

Currently the only taxing entity receiving increment is the School District. Annual property tax increment (above the base amount) currently being returned to the School District is $39 \%$ above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels for all the taxing entities. Lifetime property tax increment (above the base amount) received by the School District is $23 \%$ above what would have been realized based on base year levels for all the taxing entities. This number will increase significantly when the Project Area expires in 2022, and all of the taxing entities receive the benefit of the $67 \%$ increase in annual tax increment.

Table 7.II: Growth in Property Tax Increment

| GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT | ORIGINAL BUDGET | ACTUAL REVENUES | BASE YEAR VALUE REVENUES | ABOVE BASE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 2015 | NA | \$244,154 | \$367,071 | 67\% |
| Lifetime Revenue (FY 1991-2015) | NA | \$5,764,280 | \$7,108,571 | 81\% |


| PASS THROUGH TAX INCREMENT (ABOVE BASE) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fiscal Year 2015 | NA | $\$ 143,193$ | $\$ 367,071$ | $39 \%$ |
| Lifetime Revenue (FY I991-2015) | NA | $\$ 1,612,772$ | $\$ 7,108,571$ | $23 \%$ |

## NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

Pizzeria Seven Twelve<br>Classic Skating \& Fun Center<br>言 Midtown 360

## FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi-year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected.
$\longrightarrow$

Table 7.12: Project Area Budget

| PROJECT AREA BUDGET | 2016-2022 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| REVENUES | TOTALS | NPV @5\% |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 809,795$ | $\$ 667,907$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 809,795$ | $\$ 667,907$ |
| EXPENDITURES | TOTALS | NPV @5\% |
| Debt Service Payments | $\$ 608,972$ | $\$ 553,025$ |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | $\$ 484,268$ | $\$ 344,160$ |
| Contribution to (Use of Fund) Balance | $(\$ 283,445)$ | $(\$ 229,278)$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 809,795$ | $\$ 667,907$ |

## OTHER ISSUES

LYRB has not identified any other major areas of concern with the 87-10 Project Area and believes that, according to the records reviewed, all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area.

## PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017 and full multi-year budgets from 2003 to 2022.

## OREM RDA 87-10

## 2015 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 25 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2014 |
| Payment Year | 2015 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 65,853,703 |
| Personal Property | 1,053,189 |
| Centrally Assessed | 536,144 |
| Total Assessed Value | 67,443,036 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (32,815,2 15 ) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 34,627,821 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001149 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008096 |
| Orem City | 0.001716 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000036 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000422 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000013) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000220) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011186 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 60\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | 206, 184 |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 40\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 37,970 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 244,154 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Boyer) | 220,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 54,447 |
| Misc. Expenditures | 5,000 |
| Sales Tax Revenue from City | - |
| Interest Revenue | $(1,578)$ |
| Redevelopment Activities | $(33,715)$ |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 244,154 |

## OREM RDA 87-10

2016 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2015 |
| Payment Year | 2016 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 66,853,703 |
| Personal Property | 1,053,189 |
| Centrally Assessed | 536, 144 |
| Total Assessed Value | 68,443,036 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (32,815,2I5) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 35,627,82I |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | - |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 105,530 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 105,530 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Boyer) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 102,243 |
| Reimbursement to General Fund for Haircut Eligible Expenses | 200,000 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Sales Tax Revenue from City | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | $(196,713)$ |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 105,530 |

## OREM RDA 87-10

2017 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2016 |
| Payment Year | 2017 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 70,853,703 |
| Personal Property | 1,053,189 |
| Centrally Assessed | 536,144 |
| Total Assessed Value | 72,443,036 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (32,815,215) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 39,627,82I |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 0\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | - |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 100\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 117,378 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 117,378 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Boyer) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 102,243 |
| Reimbursement to General Fund for Haircut Eligible Expenses | - |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Sales Tax Revenue from City | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activities | 15,135 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 117,378 |

LYRB
"...w
Orem RDA 87-10
Ongoing Budget
Multi-Year Proje
Multi-Year Project Area Budget Projections

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tax Year } \\ \text { Payment Year } \end{array}$ | Base $1988$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 2002 \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 2003 \\ & 2004 \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 2004 \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 2005 \\ 2005 \\ 2006 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 2006 \\ 2007 \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 2007 \\ 2008 \\ \hline 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 2008 \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 2009 \\ & 2009 \\ & \hline 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 2010 \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 2011 \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 2012 \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 2013 \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HISORIC } \\ & 2510 \\ & 2014 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ <br> 26 <br> 2015 <br> 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 2716 \\ 2017 \end{array}{ }_{2017} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 2017 \\ 2018 \\ 2018 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ \\ \\ \\ 2018 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 2019 \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ 2020 \\ 2021 \\ 2021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 2021 \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | torals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relevies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| taxable valuation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Locallysesesedreal |  |  | 80,169835 | 80,012073 | 60,935887 | ${ }^{59,18,5887}$ | 59,93,469 | 81,88,972 | 88, 512.42 |  |  | ${ }^{7} 6.687202$ | ${ }^{683777878}$ | ${ }^{69877765}$ | ${ }^{65858703}$ | ${ }^{668837,73}$ | 70.857, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 70.857,03 | 70.857, 103 | 70.857,03 | ${ }^{20.853773}$ | 70.857, 73 |  |  |
| Pessonal Popenty |  |  | 1,841,67 | 1,92, 505 | 1,400,24 | 1,361,072 | 1,40,043 | 1,146,477 | 1,004,433 | ${ }^{988294}$ |  | 1,115,022 | 1,119,76 | 1,05, 189 | 1,05, 189 | 1,05, 189 | 1,05, 189 | 1,05, 189 | 1,05, 189 | 1,05, 189 | 1,05, 189 | 1,05, 189 |  |  |
| Centalysksessed |  |  | ${ }^{1,093,374}$ | ${ }^{1.004,457}$ | ${ }^{40688815}$ | 4,1414,588 | ${ }^{\text {3,985]985 }}$ | ${ }^{3,944454}$ | ${ }^{4,1607,36}$ | 4,5625787 |  | ${ }^{46578767}$ | ${ }_{564324}$ | ${ }^{536,144}$ | 536,144 | 5597.14 | ${ }^{568,144}$ | 5356.144 | 5359,44 | ${ }^{568,144}$ | 538,144 | 536,144 |  |  |
| Total Assessed Value Less: Base Year Value |  | (32815215) |  | 62974,035 <br> (328815215) |  |  | 64420,597 (328815215) | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 869,99870 } \\(3285625)}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 9,393271 } \\(32865215)}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 90,292717 } \\(328552515}}$ |  | 78.8288031 $(3285215$ | 70.051378 $(32851525$ | (65566988 |  | (684430368 |  | 72443036 $(3285215$ | 724430368 (3285215) | 724431386 $(3285215$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total Incerenenal Alsesessed Vlalue |  |  | 30,09, 34 | 30,58820 s | 336699911 | 3, $1,44402 \mathrm{~s}$ | 3,6,05392 | 54, |  | 50,47,502 | . s | 4,5432886 | ${ }^{3272656163} \mathrm{~s}$ | ${ }^{32} 27514838$ |  | ${ }^{3,657281}$ S |  | ${ }^{182,6727821}$ S | ${ }^{18,6,727821}$ S |  | ${ }^{123,627821}$ S | (123,627821 |  |  |
| Real |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real PopoetilCentalaly Assessest Tax Rexate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0000063 | 0.011034 | 0.011380 |  |  | 0.00978 |  | 0.010530 | 0.01152 | -0.12399 | 0.012371 |  | 0.011186 | 0.011139 | 0.01139 | 0.01139 | 0.01139 | 0.01139 | 0.011139 | 0.011139 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.00385 | 0.003508 | 0.00321 | 0.00839 | 0.003512 | 0.00850 | 0.002706 | ${ }_{0} 0.002007$ |  | ${ }_{0} 0.003997$ | 0.00536 | ${ }_{0}^{0.00332}$ | 0.00300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{75 \%}$ | ${ }^{75 \%}$ | ${ }^{75 \%}$ | 10\% | 70\% | ${ }^{70 \%}$ | 70\% | 70\% | ${ }^{60 \%}$ | 60\% | ${ }^{60 \%}$ | ${ }^{600} 8$ | 6\% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | O\% | 06 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 25\% | 25\% | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | 30\% | ${ }^{30 \%}$ | ${ }^{30 \%}$ | 30\% | ${ }^{30 \%}$ | ${ }_{40 \%}$ | 40\% | ${ }_{40 \%}$ | ${ }_{40 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {40\% }}$ | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 00\% | 00\% | 00\% | 000\% |  |  |
| TAXICREMENT REIENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 227,00 s | 235,42 s | 187,900 S | 217,07 S | 299,318 s | 223,328 | 299.542 | 200,389 | 248,300 s | 335,500 s | 276,389 s | 23,001 s | ${ }^{232408}$ S | s | s | . s | - s | - s | s | s | 5.153, 41 | 2682985 |
| Lesscrunerlverun hollected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1323232)}$ | ${ }^{208.887)}$ | ${ }^{\text {(21,042) }}$ | ${ }^{28224)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | [20045 | ${ }^{66,393)}$ |
| Plus firioreass late coleteions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,39 | 227458 | 26.564 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 312332 | 10.505 |
|  |  | s | 227,900 s | $225,422 \mathrm{~s}$ | 187,900 s | 27,067 s | 259,318 s | 223,328 s | 299,512 s | $20,389 \mathrm{~s}$ | 24,300 s | 21.5464 | $527,881 \mathrm{~s}$ | 228,5238 | 200,184 s | . | - | - s | . | . s | . s | s | $5.265,737$ | 2.688 .806 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (250,60) | (3,984) | (3,978) | (4,830) |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | ${ }^{1378575}$ | ${ }^{(12,535)}$ |
| Pusp Pioro Yeas late coleletions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.527 | 44.896 | 4.465 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 51,888 | 16.805 |
| Toall Ararut Received dy Agency |  | $s$ | ${ }^{26,886}$ S | 26,499 | 19,326 s | 29.054 s | 37,299 | ${ }^{312,56}$ s | 3 3,607 5 | 31,12 s | 4.267 s | 4.013 s | ${ }_{93,683} 5$ | $44,532 \mathrm{~s}$ | 37.970 s | 105.530 s | 117,378 | 1173788 | 1173788 | 117.788 | 117 17378 5 | 117 17378 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{1,3089338}$ | 387,988 |
| TOTAL Reverves eceeve |  | $s$ | 225,576 | $226,182 \mathrm{~s}$ | 207306 s | 246,120 s | 296,677 | 254,564 S | 335,609 | 29,500 s | 287,296 S | 27,599 s | 621.64 s | ${ }^{28,3,54}$ s | $224,154 \mathrm{~s}$ | 105,530 S | 117,378 S | 117,788 s | 117,788 | 117,7878 | 117,378 s | ${ }^{117,378}$ / | 6,54,075 | $3.005,045$ |
| PASSTHROUGHTT TAXIN ENTITITESABAVE EASE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Essimated Toil Pass Shrough hremenent |  | s | 4.211 s | 52.322 s | $4{ }^{4,333}$ s | 63,975 | 73.807 s | 644565 | ${ }_{923} 208$ S | 80,433 S | 117,733 s | 103,351 | 258,304 s | 105280 s | $143,193 \mathrm{~s}$ | 29,329 s | 324,037 s | 324,07 5 | 324,07 S | 324,037 S | 324.077 s | ${ }^{32,0,37}$ / | 3,886,321 | 12388.99 |
| Expevorues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROAASmin |  | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . s | s | . s | . s | . s | - s | - ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |
| Deieloerarembursenent(B0er) |  |  | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 | 220,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5280,68 | 289, 288 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | ${ }^{36,024}$ | 20.00 |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{33,576}$ | 41,992 | ${ }^{19,36}$ | 26,120 | ${ }^{76,647}$ | ${ }^{34,584}$ | 115.500 | 47,773 | ${ }^{67,266}$ | 41013 | ${ }^{93,683}$ | 44.532 | ${ }^{54,47}$ | ${ }^{102243}$ | ${ }^{102243}$ | ${ }^{102243}$ | 102243 |  |  | s | ${ }^{1,10505090}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5000 | 200,00 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4842888}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{465377}^{20,00}$ |  |
| Sales 7 axerenemef fom Ciy |  |  |  |  | (32021) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | ${ }_{(673,384)}$ | ${ }^{43350505}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s |  |  |
| IntesatReeme |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2329 | ${ }^{\text {1383) }}$ | ${ }^{(609)}$ | ${ }^{(24669)}$ | ${ }^{\text {(2,520) }}$ | ${ }^{(1578)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | ${ }^{7} 7.5765$ | ${ }^{2} 2.386$ |
|  |  | s | 255,576 | ${ }_{261,982}^{(8,8)}$ | 207,306 S | ${ }_{26,120}$ s | 296.647 | 254,54 s | 335,609 S | ${ }_{29,500}^{2988}$ s | ${ }_{287,296}{ }^{38}$ | ${ }_{25,599}^{(2845)}$ |  | ${ }_{28,10,54}^{204}$ | ${ }_{24,1,154}$ | ${ }_{10 \text { (19,753) }}$ | ${ }_{10}^{11,73585}$ s | ${ }_{\substack{15,35 \\ 11,388 \\ \text { s }}}$ | ${ }_{1}^{15,3,385}$ ¢ | ${ }_{1117378}^{11788}$ s | ${ }_{117 \text { 17,378 }}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{6,54,4755}$ |  |

## LYRB <br> $\longrightarrow$

## SECTION 7: OVERVIEW OF THE 90-08 PROJECT AREA

Table 8.I: Project Area Overview

| OVERVIEW |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { RDA }}$ | Acreage NA | Commercial Development | $\frac{\text { Taxing District }}{090}$ | $\frac{\text { Tax Rate }}{0.011186}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Creation Year }}{\text { FY } 1990}$ | $\frac{\text { Base Year }}{\text { FY } 1990}$ | $\frac{\text { Term }}{32 \text { Years }^{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trigger Year } \\ \text { FY } 1993 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Expiration Year }}{\text { FY } 2024}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Base Value }}{\$ 11,172,447}$ | $\frac{\text { TY } 2014 \text { Value }}{\$ 36,073,581}$ | $\frac{\text { Increase }}{222 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { FY } 2015 \text { Increment }}{\$ 202,125}$ | Remaining Life 9 Years |



The 90-08 Project Area was created in May 1990 and is governed by the (a) "Redevelopment Plan for Redevelopment Project No. 90-08". This document and the associated ordinances and resolutions define the duration and use of property tax generated within the Project Area as well as conditions and obligations by the Agency and each participating taxing entity. As the 90-08 Project Area was created prior to 1993, a taxing entity committee was not established for this project Area.

The Project Area drew its first property tax increment beginning with the taxes collected in 1992 and remitted to the Agency in 1993. Historically, $100 \%$ of the tax increment was paid to the Agency for TY 1992 and TY 1993 and then no additional increment was remitted to the Agency until the taxes collected in 2001 and remitted in 2002. The increment received for TY 2001 was at the $80 \%$ participation level, which continued for five years. Payments in the project area are anticipated to follow the normal pattern (seen in the chart below) through the last year of $60 \%$ increment, which will be the taxes collected in 2020 and remitted to the Agency in 2021.

On August 28, 200I, the Agency adopted a resolution (RDA-R-0I-0004-000) solidifying its desire to trigger the extension option for all seven RDA project areas, including this RDA. Because the first year of tax increment was TY 1992, there will only be three years of additional tax increment (haircut) at $100 \%$ before the maximum window of 32 years is met. Note that haircut revenues will not include participation from the school district's portion of the tax rate. The original purpose of the 90-08 Project Area was to convert the property into a more economically productive area, encourage owners of property within the Project Area to appropriately develop and beautify their properties and take the steps necessary to promote the aim of improving the Project Area and preventing further deterioration within the area. RDA 90-08 is generally located on the east side of State Street between I200 North and 500 North.

[^2]$\longrightarrow$
IfCll

## SOURCES OF FUNDS

Table 8.2: Sources of Funds

## 2015 SOURCES OF FUNDS

| Property Tax Increment | $\$ 180,729$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Haircut Inrement | $\$ 21,396$ |
| Total Sources of Funds | $\$ 202,125$ |

Table 4.3: Tax Increment Levels

| TAX INCREMENT LEVELS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | \% of Tax Increment | \% of Haircut |
| $1993-2001^{3}$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $2002-2006$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $2007-2011$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $2012-2016$ | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| $2017-2021$ | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $2022-2024$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## USES OF FUNDS

Table 8.4: Uses of Funds

| 2015 USES OF FUNDS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| RDA Administration | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Debt Service Payment | $\$ 4,288$ |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Interest (Revenue) | $(\$ 2,570)$ |
| Redevelopment Activity | $\$ 95,407$ |
| Total Uses of Funds | $\$ 202,125$ |

## DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

In 2002, Orem City issued $\$ 12,175,000$ in Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 to fund various recreational facilities and uses throughout the City. As per Utah State Code Title 17C-I-403, the Agency is using the haircut proceeds from all qualified Project Areas to repay the City for the Sales Tax Revenue debt service expenditures. In FY 2015, the 90-08 Project Area's portion of the debt service was \$4,288.

[^3]Table 8.5: Debt Service Payments

| 2015 DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Series 2002 Sales Tax Revenue Bond Payment |  | $\$ 4,288$ |
| Total Debt Service Payments | $\$ 4,288$ |  |

## PROJECT AREA REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

COMPARISON OF FORECASTED AND ACTUAL TAX INCREMENT

Table 8.6: Realization of Tax Increment

| REALIZATION OF TAX INCREMENT | FORECASTED | ACTUAL | \%OF <br> PROJECTION |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX INCREMENT GENERATED IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Increment - FY 20I5 | $\$ 353,016$ | $\$ 202,125$ | $57 \%$ |

## RELATIVE GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE

Table 8.7: Growth in Assessed Value

| GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE | CURRENT <br> YEAR | COMPARISON <br> YEAR | GROWTH <br> RATE | AAGR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| ASSESSED VALUES IN PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. 2013) | $\$ 36,073,581$ | $\$ 34,561,036$ | $4.38 \%$ | $4.38 \%$ |
| Lifetime Growth in Project Area (2014 vs. Base) | $\$ 36,073,58 \mathrm{I}$ | $\$ 11,172,447$ | $222 \%$ | $5.01 \%$ |


| ASSESSED VALUES IN OREM CITY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual Growth in Orem City (2014 vs. 2013) | $\$ 4,534,129,407$ | $\$ 4,287,504,710$ | $5.75 \%$ | $5.75 \%$ |
| Lifetime Growth in Orem City (2014 vs. 1996) | $\$ 4,534,129,407$ | $\$ 2,173,320,362$ | $108.63 \%$ | $4.17 \%$ |

## BENEFITS DERIVED BY PARTICIPATING TAXING ENTITIES

Table 8.8: Benefits to Taxing Entities

## BENEFITS TO TAXING ENTITIES

*Increased Property Tax Revenues upon Expiration of Project Area
*Increased Sales Tax Revenues

## GROWTH IN PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT

Currently the only taxing entity receiving increment is the School District. Annual property tax increment (above the base amount) currently being returned to the School District is $61 \%$ above what would have been realized if assessed values in the Project Area had remained at base year levels for all the taxing entities. Lifetime property tax increment (above the base amount) received by the School District is $\mathbf{I} 24 \%$ above what would have been realized based on base year levels for all the taxing
entities．This number will increase significantly when the Project Area expires in 2024，and all of the taxing entities receive the benefit of the $161 \%$ increase in annual tax increment．

Table 8．9：Growth in Property Tax Increment

| GROWTH IN TAX INCREMENT | ORIGINAL BUDGET | ACTUAL REVENUES | BASE YEAR VALUE REVENUES | ABOVE BASE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX INCREMENT FROM PROJECT AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 2015 | NA | \＄202，125 | \＄124，975 | 161\％ |
| Lifetime Revenue（FY 1993－2015） | NA | \＄2，535，139 | \＄1，569，558 | 162\％ |


| PASS THROUGH TAX INCREMENT（ABOVE BASE） |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fiscal Year 2015 | NA | $\$ 76,572$ | $\$ 124,975$ | $61 \%$ |
| Lifetime Revenue（FY 1993－2015） | NA | $\$ 1,951,971$ | $\$ 1,569,558$ | $124 \%$ |

## NOTABLE DEVELOPMENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

㖇 Macy＇s
言 O＇Reilly Auto Parts
＝Orem Quick Lube

言 Arby＇s
\％Aspen Art \＆Frame

## FORECASTED PROJECT AREA BUDGET UPDATE

The multi－year budget attached to this document and summarized below displays revenue in the fiscal year received rather than the calendar year collected．

Table 8．10：Project Area Budget

| PROJECT AREA BUDGET | $2016-2024$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| REVENUES | TOTALS | NPV＠5\％ |
| Property Tax Increment | $\$ 1,026,847$ | $\$ 871,614$ |
| Haircut Increment | $\$ 391,128$ | $\$ 292,768$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 1,417,975$ | $\$ 1,164,382$ |
| EXPENDITURES | TOTALS | NPV＠5\％ |
| RDA Administration | $\$ 1,545,000$ | $\$ 1,147,690$ |
| Debt Service | $\$ \$ 39,705$ | $\$ 236,499$ |
| Contribution to（Use of Fund）Balance | $(\$ 46,730)$ | $(\$ 219,807)$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 1,417,975$ | $\$ 1,164,382$ |

## OTHER ISSUES

LYRB has not identified any other major areas of concern with the 90－08 Project Area and believes that， according to the records reviewed，all parties are meeting their respective obligations related to this Project Area．
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## PROJECT AREA ANNUAL AND MULTI-YEAR BUDGETS

The following four sheets represent the FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017 and full multi-year budgets from 2003 to 2024.

## Orem RDA 90-08

2015 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2014 |
| Payment Year | 2015 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 35,788,895 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 298,373 |
| Total Assessed Value | 36,087,268 |
| Less: Base Year Value | (11,172,447) |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 24,914,82। |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001149 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008096 |
| Orem City | 0.001716 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000036 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000422 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000013) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000220) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011186 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 70\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | 180,729 |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 30\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 21,396 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 202,125 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | 100,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Woodbury Amsource) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | 4,288 |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Interest Revenue | $(2,570)$ |
| Redevelopment Activitites | 95,407 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 202,125 |

## Orem RDA 90-08

## 2016 Annual Budget

|  | Yr. 20 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | 2015 |
| Payment Year | 2016 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 35,788,895 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 298,373 |
| Total Assessed Value | 36,087,268 |
| Less: Base Year Value | $(11,172,447)$ |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 24,914,82। |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 70\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | 194,268 |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 30\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 22,139 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 216,408 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | 100,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Woodbury Amsource) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | - |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activitites | 116,408 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 216,408 |

## Orem RDA 90-08

## 2017 Annual Budget

| Tax Yearment Year | Yr. 21 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |
|  | 2017 |
| ASSESSED VALUATION |  |
| TAXABLE VALUATION |  |
| Real Property | 35,788,895 |
| Personal Property | - |
| Centrally Assessed | 298,373 |
| Total Assessed Value | 36,087,268 |
| Less: Base Year Value | $(11,172,447)$ |
| Incremental Assessed Value | 24,914,821 |
| TAX INCREMENT ANALYSIS |  |
| Tax Rate |  |
| Utah County | 0.001098 |
| Alpine School District | 0.008177 |
| Orem City | 0.001652 |
| Orem Metropolitan Water District | 0.000035 |
| Central Utah Water Conservancy | 0.000405 |
| Less State Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000012) |
| Less Local Assessing \& Collecting | (0.000216) |
| Total Tax Rate | 0.011139 |
| PROJECT AREA BUDGET |  |
| TAX INCREMENT REVENUES |  |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Project | 60\% |
| Total Tax Increment Received | 166,516 |
| Percent of Tax Increment for Haircut | 40\% |
| Total Haircut Received | 29,519 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION | 196,035 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |
| RDA Admin | 100,000 |
| Developer Reimbursement (Woodbury Amsource) | - |
| Developer Reimbursement (Fund 45 Lakeside Park) | - |
| Debt Service (Haircut Eligible) | - |
| Misc. Expenditures | - |
| Interest Revenue | - |
| Redevelopment Activitites | 96,035 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 196,035 |

## LYRB

## Nown



## LYRB

## EXHIBIT A: OREM PROJECT AREA MAPS




[^0]:    * Remaining Life includes 2015 totals

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ LYRB used 1996 as a reference point, as it is the earliest year of reliable information available.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The actual term of tax increment financing will be 28 years, as no increment was taken for a period of time.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ FY 1995 - 2001 had no increment, increment restarted in FY 2002 at $80 \%$. The regular pattern continues from there. But, this means the $32^{\text {nd }}$ year of increment for haircut purposes will be FY 2024 and there will only be three years of $100 \%$ haircut instead of the typical seven years.

